UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

V.

TAREK MEHANNA,

Defendant.

BEFORE THE HONORABLE GEORGE A. O'TOOLE, JR. UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

DAY SEVEN JURY TRIAL

John J. Moakley United States Courthouse
Courtroom No. 9
One Courthouse Way
Boston, Massachusetts 02210
Wednesday, November 2, 2011
9:08 a.m.

Marcia G. Patrisso, RMR, CRR
Cheryl Dahlstrom, RMR, CRR
Official Court Reporters
John J. Moakley U.S. Courthouse
One Courthouse Way, Room 3510
Boston, Massachusetts 02210
(617) 737-8728

Mechanical Steno - Computer-Aided Transcript

```
1
     APPEARANCES:
 2
          OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
          By: Aloke Chakravarty and Jeffrey Auerhahn,
 3
              Assistant U.S. Attorneys
          John Joseph Moakley Federal Courthouse
          Suite 9200
 4
          Boston, Massachusetts 02210
 5
          - and -
          UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
 6
          By: Jeffrey D. Groharing, Trial Attorney
              National Security Division
 7
          950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
          Washington, D.C. 20530
          On Behalf of the Government
 8
          CARNEY & BASSIL
 9
          By: J.W. Carney, Jr., Esq.
10
              Janice Bassil, Esq.
              John E. Oh, Esq.
          20 Park Plaza
11
          Suite 1405
12
          Boston, Massachusetts 02216
          - and -
13
          LAW OFFICE OF SEJAL H. PATEL, LLC
          By: Sejal H. Patel, Esq.
          101 Tremont Street
14
          Suite 800
15
          Boston, Massachusetts 02108
          On Behalf of the Defendant
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1								
2	WITNESSES FOR THE	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS			
3	GOVERNMENT:							
4	VEERA BOONYASAIT, resumed							
5	By Mr. Chakravarty By Mr. Carney			6	14			
6	LISA A. CLINE							
7	By Mr. Auerhahn	18						
8	- GREGORY J. MAJOR							
9	By Mr. Groharing	25						
10	WILLIAM T. VIA							
11	By Mr. Groharing	33						
12	MEREDITH D. SPARANO	33						
13	By Mr. Auerhahn	43		49				
14	By Ms. Bassil	40	48	49				
15	ROBERT GEMME							
16	By Mr. Chakravarty	50						
17	GREGORY HUGHES							
18	By Mr. Groharing	68	0.0	101	1.00			
19	By Ms. Bassil		93		102			
20	LEAH VALLEE							
21	By Mr. Chakravarty	105						
22	ANN MARIE DOURSOUNIAN							
23	By Mr. Chakravarty	117						
24								
25								

1			E X H I B I T S	
2	GOVI	ERNMEI	NT'S DESCRIPTION FOR ID	IN EVD.
3	No.	298	One-Page document	38
4	No.	794	Combined exhibits from S.A. Hughes 68	
5	No.	739A	Certificate of Authenticity	74
7	No.	739	Yahoo records	74
8	No.	390	AA:TM	77
9	No.	738A	Certificate of Authenticity from Pakistan International Airlines	81
LO	No.	738	Pakistan Internation Airlines records	81
L1	No.	740A	Certificate of Authenticity from Comcast	89
L2	No.	740	Comcast records	89
L3	No.	742A	Certificate of Authenticity from AT&T	90
L4	No.	742	AT&T records	90
L5	No.	743A	Certificate of Authenticity from Verizon	91
L6	No.	743	Verizon records	91
L7	No.	745A	Certificate of Authenticity from Eastern Bank	91
L8	No.	745	Eastern Bank records	91
L9	No.	247	Cover sheet for FISA records	92
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

```
1
                   (The following proceedings were held in open court
         before the Honorable George A. O'Toole, Jr., United States
     2
         District Judge, United States District Court, District of
     3
         Massachusetts, at the John J. Moakley United States Courthouse,
     4
     5
         One Courthouse Way, Boston, Massachusetts, on November 2, 2011.
     6
                   The defendant, Tarek Mehanna, is present with counsel.
     7
         Assistant U.S. Attorneys Aloke Chakravarty and Jeffrey Auerhahn
         are present, along with Jeffrey D. Groharing, Trial Attorney,
     8
         U.S. Department of Justice, National Security Division.)
                  THE CLERK: All rise for the Court.
00:02 10
                   (The Court and jury enter the courtroom at 9:08 a.m.)
    11
                  THE CLERK: For a continuation of the Mehanna trial.
    12
    13
                  THE COURT: Good morning.
    14
                  COUNSEL IN UNISON: Good morning.
                  THE COURT: The clerk told me someone wanted to talk
    15
         about something before the jury came out? No?
    16
                  MR. CARNEY: May I have one second, your Honor,
    17
    18
         please?
    19
                  THE COURT: I'd be happy not to.
00:25 20
                  MR. CARNEY: May we just have 30 seconds?
    21
                  MS. BASSIL: I think we can delay this for a moment.
    22
                   (Pause.)
    23
                  MR. CARNEY: We may need to speak to your Honor before
    24
         the next witness, but before that happens I'll be asking to
    25
         speak with the prosecutors.
```

```
1
                   MS. BASSIL: We may be able to defer this dispute for
     2
         the moment.
     3
                   THE COURT: Or solve it?
                   MS. BASSIL: Maybe.
     4
     5
                   (Laughter.)
     6
                   THE COURT: So, all right, are we ready for the jury,
     7
         then?
     8
                   MS. BASSIL: Yes.
                                      Yes.
     9
                   MR. CARNEY: Please.
00:27 10
                   (Pause.)
    11
                   THE CLERK: All rise for the jury.
    12
                   (The jury enters the courtroom at 9:11 a.m.)
    13
                   THE CLERK: Please be seated.
    14
                   THE COURT: Good morning, jurors.
    15
                   THE JURORS: Good morning.
                   THE COURT: Mr. Chakravarty, you may proceed.
    16
                   MR. CHAKRAVARTY: Thank you, your Honor.
    17
    18
                             VEERA BOONYASAIT, resumed
    19
                                REDIRECT EXAMINATION
00:28 20
         BY MR. CHAKRAVARTY:
              Good morning, Mr. Boonyasait.
    21
         Q.
    22
         Α.
              Good morning.
    23
              When we left we were talking about the Tibyan Publications
         forum, and I just have a few more questions with regards to
    24
         following up on Mr. Carney's questions.
    25
```

2

3

4

5

7

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

00:29 20

00:29 10

```
Mr. Boonyasait, you were asked about the diversity views
on the Tibyan Publications website. Did you have an
opportunity to review a number of messages on that site?
Α.
     I have.
     Were you able to review all of the messages on that site?
Q.
Α.
    No, I have not.
     Approximately how much content was on there?
Q.
     There were several thousand number of posted messages.
Α.
     So did you -- in the messages that you saw, how would you
describe, in your words, the diversity views?
         MR. CARNEY: I object.
         THE COURT: No, overruled.
         You may have it.
         THE WITNESS: I thought they were mostly pro jihad and
talked.
         MR. CARNEY: Motion to strike. The messages are in
evidence.
         THE COURT: No, it was part of the cross-examination.
         You may have it.
         MR. CHAKRAVARTY: Can we call up Exhibit 426, please?
This is one of the exhibits in evidence.
BY MR. CHAKRAVARTY:
Q. I'm sorry, can you read this? Just can you tell us what
it is?
A. Yes. It's a private message.
```

- 1 Q. And I'm just going to focus on this one raised. "But
- 2 still exercise relative safety when sending anything." Did I
- 3 read that correctly?
- 4 A. Yes, you did.
- 5 Q. And on a web forum what does that mean to you?
- 6 A. It means that this person should be aware that he is
- 7 sending some things sensitive.
- 8 Q. And is the sender of that message Abu Sabaayaa?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 00:30 10 Q. You were asked several questions about whether the
 - 11 defendant disagreed with certain content.
 - 12 MR. CHAKRAVARTY: I'm going to ask you to call up
 - 13 Exhibit 420, please. If we could go to page 2. Highlight this
 - 14 first sentence.
 - 15 | Q. And the "I" is on the previous page. Does this sentence
 - 16 say, "I used to believe this but after long reflection and
 - 17 thought, I have come to the conclusion (and Allah knows best)
 - 18 | that this is an incorrect concept"? Have I read that
 - 19 correctly?
- 00:31 20 A. Yes.
 - MR. CHAKRAVARTY: I'm sorry. Can you go back to the
 - 22 previous page?
 - 23 | Q. And again, this is a post by Abu Sabaayaa?
 - 24 A. Yes.
 - MR. CHAKRAVARTY: Next page, please.

- 1 Q. And in that same -- Abu Sabaayaa continues: "Every
- 2 American in the world, civilian or military, can be killed on
- 3 the spot." He's disagreeing with that. And he says, "No,
- 1 rather, those who fight us should be fought. Those who" -- in
- 5 | bold -- "fight us, not those who carry the same nationality as
- 6 those who fight us." Did I read that correctly?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. You were asked some questions about some of the religious
- 9 language in some of the posts that the defendant had made.
- 00:32 10 MR. CHAKRAVARTY: Can we call up Exhibit 412? Second
 - 11 page, please? Sorry. Page 4. Sorry.
 - 12 Q. And you were asked about emboldened language and whether
 - 13 those were Koranic verses. Do you recall that?
 - 14 A. Yes.
 - 15 Q. And is this an example of what you were talking about?
 - 16 A. Yes.
 - 17 Q. And there's a bracket to suggest that this is from some
 - 18 | Hadith or some religious piece of literature?
 - 19 A. Yes.
- 00:33 20 Q. But then there's other emboldened language which is not
 - 21 | necessarily accompanied by that bracket. Isn't that right?
 - 22 A. Yes.
 - 23 | Q. And does this last paragraph read, "And because of the
 - 24 word, the battalions of suicide fighters will remain, and
 - 25 | because of it, the word of disbelief will fall underneath the

```
1
         feet of the mujahideen, as Allah has made the word of those who
     2
         disbelieve the lowest, and the word of Allah the highest, until
         the day of judgment, and this is the significance of the word."
     3
         Did I read that correctly?
     5
         Α.
              Yes.
              You were asked questions about the spectrum of views on
     7
         the Tibyan Publications where al Qa'ida was the most extreme.
     8
         Do you remember that?
         Α.
              Yes.
00:33 10
                  MR. CHAKRAVARTY: I'd ask to call up Exhibit 423,
         please. Can we go to page 2?
    11
              Again, this is a post by Abu Sabaayaa?
    12
    13
         Α.
              Yes.
    14
              In one paragraph he says, "If the majority of the victims
         of the Riyadh attacks were involved in fighting or plotting
    15
         against the Muslims, then I have no problem with those
    16
    17
         particular attacks. If they were school teachers, civil
         engineers and whatnot, or otherwise not having been proven to
    18
    19
         be fighting in one way or the other by weapons, opinions,
00:34 20
         words, then I don't see any clear justification from the
    21
         Sharee'ah for killing them." Did I read that correctly?
    22
         Α.
              Yes.
    23
                   MR. CHAKRAVARTY: Go to the next page, please?
    24
         Q.
              Again, is this a post by Abu Sabaayaa?
```

Α.

Yes.

```
1
              And does he say, "My beloved brother Abu Dujanah and I
         just had a related conversation and we agreed to post it here
     2
         for its clarity, and (inshaa-Allaah) it being an example of an
     3
         effort to have a polite and respectful debate without any hard
     5
         feelings."
              And below that does this appear to be a stored instant
     7
         messaging chat?
         Α.
              Yes.
              And this is something that appears to be cut and pasted
00:35 10
         into Tibyan Publications web forum. Is that right?
    11
              Correct.
         Α.
              Now I'm going to direct your attention to about halfway
    12
    13
         down in this chat. And I'll just read this and ask if I read
    14
         it correctly. Abu Dujanah said, "What about Usaamah's
         requests?" And a person in Arabic script who, because this is
    15
         a post by Abu Sabaayaa, it's presumably Abu Sabaayaa, says,
    16
         "This is understood by many to mean the military, not school
    17
         teachers, et cetera. In fact, this is what his words are, that
    18
    19
         the presence of the military is disposed, but he never
00:36 20
         mentioned your average Joe Kaafir working at an oil firm."
    21
         then Abu Dujanah says, "I think I can find statements where he
    22
         generalizes and means them all."
    23
              Did I read that correctly?
    24
         Α.
              Yes.
```

MR. CHAKRAVARTY: Go to the next page?

```
1
         Q.
              And this chat continues. Is that right?
     2
         Α.
              Yes.
     3
              And then Abu Sabaayaa continues: "I may be wrong, of
         Q.
         course, but I had the other opinion for years, so I'm not
     5
         disagreeing out of bigoted blindness. It just seems to me that
         when I look at the actions of the Prophet and try to apply it
     7
         to today, I don't see a similarity between what he did and what
     8
         I'm arguing against. Technically speaking, yes, the blood of
         all of these kuffaar is, in its mubaah, but we need to look at
00:37 10
         how the messenger applied this ruling even when he did Jihaad
    11
         at-Talab. He generally didn't invade the kuffaar without any
    12
         warning and start killing randomly, as far as I know. So there
    13
         was a process."
    14
              Then it goes down. Abu Dujanah says, "He chose the best
    15
         targets, not any old target, et cetera.
              "I agree. This is from the figh and the wisdom gained."
    16
              And then Abu Sabaayaa says, "Right. So this is why I said
    17
         if the targets were involved in fighting the Muslims in any
    18
    19
         way, then I am all for what happened."
00:38 20
              Did I read that correctly?
    21
         Α.
              Yes.
    22
         Q.
             You were asked about Exhibit 419.
    23
                  MR. CHAKRAVARTY: Can you pull that up, page 2,
    24
         please?
```

Just to clarify again, is this a post by Abu Sabaayaa?

- MR. CHAKRAVARTY: Can we go back to the previous page?

 A. Yes, it is.

 MR. CHAKRAVARTY: Next page?

 Q. Does he say, "If we are speaking about the American military presence in the peninsula" -- when he says

 "peninsula," do you know what he's referring to?
- 7 A. The Arabian peninsula, presumably.
- 8 Q. And is that where Saudi Arabia is?
- 9 A. Yes, it is.
- 00:38 10 Q. And Riyadh is the capital of Saudi Arabia. Is that right?
 - 11 A. Yes.
 - 12 Q. "If we're speaking about the American military presence in
 - the peninsula, or any other hostile forces or people, then I
 - 14 wholeheartedly agree with applying the above." Did I read that
 - 15 properly?
 - 16 A. Yes.
 - 17 Q. And then the last paragraph does he say: "There is a
 - 18 contrast between these recent Riyadh attacks, which did not
 - 19 cause the deaths of any known American military personnel, and,
- 00:39 20 | for example, the killing of Paul Johnson who was admittedly
 - 21 | working on Apache helicopter repairs." Did I read that
 - 22 correctly?
 - 23 A. Yes.
 - Q. So is he contrasting the killing of non-military personnel
 - 25 | with military personnel?

```
1
         Α.
              Yes.
     2
              And is he including somebody who is --
     3
                   MR. CARNEY: I object, your Honor.
     4
                   THE COURT: Sustained.
     5
                   MR. CARNEY: Leading the witness.
     6
                   THE COURT: Sustained.
     7
         BY MR. CHAKRAVARTY:
     8
              Mr. Boonyasait, do you know how Paul Johnson was killed?
         Q.
         Α.
             No, I do not.
00:39 10
                   MR. CHAKRAVARTY: That's all I have, your Honor.
    11
                   THE COURT: Mr. Carney?
    12
                   MR. CARNEY: Thank you.
                                RECROSS-EXAMINATION
    13
    14
         BY MR. CARNEY:
    15
         Q.
              Good morning again, sir.
         A. Good morning.
    16
             You stated that there were 7,000 postings on Tibyan?
    17
         Q.
              I said there were several thousands.
    18
         Α.
    19
         Q.
              I'm sorry. Several thousands.
00:40 20
               The ones you looked at were the ones that the prosecutors
         selected for you to look at. Is that right?
    21
    22
         Α.
              Not all of them. I looked at some of the others.
    23
              About how many others did you look at?
    24
         Α.
              Maybe five, ten.
    25
         Q.
              Okay.
```

- A. As continuations --
- 2 Q. So out of several thousand, except for the five or ten
- 3 thousand that you looked at, all of them were selected by the
- 4 prosecutors for you to look at, right?
- 5 A. Yes.

- 6 Q. And you don't, therefore, have information about the rest
- 7 of what was on that web forum, do you?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. Now, the prosecutor just went over, again today, some of
- 00:41 10 the things that we had gone over yesterday and asked you some
 - 11 questions about them. Is that right?
 - 12 A. Yes.
 - 13 Q. Would you agree, based on the documents that you read this
 - 14 morning again for the jurors, that it's clear that
 - 15 Tarek Mehanna's view was that Muslims in a Muslim country had
 - 16 the right to fight invading soldiers or other military whether
 - 17 from the United States or another country? Isn't that fair to
 - 18 say?
 - 19 A. That's fair to say.
- 00:41 20 Q. This is comparable to Muslims in Afghanistan fighting the
 - 21 Soviet Union when they invaded, isn't it?
 - 22 MR. CHAKRAVARTY: Objection.
 - 23 THE COURT: Sustained. Argumentative.
 - MR. CARNEY: Pardon me?
 - 25 THE COURT: Argumentative.

```
1
    BY MR. CARNEY:
```

5

- But Mr. Mehanna always drew a distinction about people who 2 were not in the military based on those emails that you read 3 this morning and have read.
- MR. CHAKRAVARTY: Objection, your Honor.
- 6 THE COURT: You may answer that.
- 7 THE WITNESS: Could you repeat that, please?

BY MR. CARNEY: 8

- Yes, sir. But based on reading what Mr. Mehanna wrote, he 00:42 10 consistently made a distinction with people who were not part
 - 11 of the military invading a Muslim country, didn't he?
 - 12 Somewhat, yes.
 - 13 For example, he specified teachers and said they're not Ο.
 - 14 part of the invading force and, therefore, they're not subject
 - to being fought against or killed. Isn't that right? 15
 - 16 Α. Yes.
 - He mentioned an oil engineer working in a Muslim country 17
 - who's not part of the military is not subject to being killed 18
 - 19 because he's not part of the invading army in that Muslim
- 00:43 20 country like Iraq, right?
 - 21 Α. Yes.
 - 22 Q. Didn't he specifically use the phrase, and correct me if
 - I'm wrong, "oil engineer"? 23
 - 24 Α. Yes.
 - 25 Q. And, of course, these Muslim countries are the source of

```
so much oil that the United States uses, right?
     1
     2
                  MR. CHAKRAVARTY: Objection.
                  MR. CARNEY: I'll withdraw the question before I ask
     3
         the Court to take judicial notice.
     4
     5
         BY MR. CARNEY:
         Q. And so that the bottom line is in the face of other people
     7
         on these web forums -- on this web forum saying anybody who is
         from a non-Muslim country can be killed, Mr. Mehanna
     8
         consistently pushed back against that view and said it's only
00:44 10
         appropriate to fight the military. Isn't that true?
    11
         A. For that one thread, yes.
              When threatened by something like being invaded by the
    12
    13
         United States?
    14
                  MR. CHAKRAVARTY: Objection.
         BY MR. CARNEY:
    15
              Is that right? Is that what you mean?
    16
                  THE COURT: I think you misheard the witness.
    17
    18
                  MR. CARNEY: Oh, okay.
    19
                  THE COURT: He said "in the thread," not "the threat."
00:44 20
         BY MR. CARNEY:
             You didn't say "threat"?
    21
         Q.
    22
         Α.
             No.
    23
             My mistake. So he would say this in the thread?
    24
         Α.
             Thread.
    25
         Q.
              Thread?
```

```
1
         Α.
              T-H-R-E-A-D.
     2
              My fault. Okay. And that's clear throughout all of these
         postings that you went over that Mr. Chakravarty, the
     3
         prosecutor, asked you to go through again today, right?
     5
         Α.
              That's fair to say.
                  MR. CARNEY: Okay. That's all I have.
     6
     7
                   Thank you, your Honor.
     8
                  MR. CHAKRAVARTY: Nothing else.
     9
                   THE COURT: All right. Thank you, sir. You may step
00:45 10
         down.
    11
                   (The witness is excused.)
    12
                  MR. AUERHAHN: Lisa Cline, please.
    13
                             LISA A. CLINE, duly sworn
    14
                  THE CLERK: Please be seated.
                  State your name and spell your last name for the
    15
         record.
    16
                  THE WITNESS: Lisa Cline, C-L-I-N-E.
    17
    18
                                DIRECT EXAMINATION
    19
         BY MR. AUERHAHN:
00:46 20
         Q.
             Good morning.
    21
         A. Good morning.
    22
         Q.
             How are you employed?
    23
              I'm employed by the FBI as a special agent.
         Α.
              And how long have you been so employed?
    24
         Q.
              I've been employed with the FBI since 1999.
    25
         Α.
```

- 1 Q. Where are you currently assigned?
- 2 A. I'm assigned to the Lakeville resident agency.
- Q. And just generally, what are your duties?
- 4 A. In the resident agency we cover all criminal aspects.
- 5 Right now I'm working counterintelligence, and I previously
- 6 worked counterterrorism.
- 7 Q. You have a tendency to talk very fast.
- 8 A. I'm sorry.
- 9 Q. If you could slow down just a little bit.
- 00:46 10 Were you there in 2006?
 - 11 A. I was.
 - 12 Q. I want to draw your attention specifically to December 13,
 - 13 2006. Do you recall where you went that day?
 - 14 A. I do. I went to 16 Eric Road, Number 11, in Mansfield,
 - 15 Massachusetts.
 - 16 Q. And what kind of building or building complex was that?
 - 17 A. It's an apartment complex.
 - 18 | O. So there were other --
 - 19 A. There were several buildings in that complex.
- 00:47 20 Q. And just generally, what was your purpose in going there
 - 21 that day?
 - 22 A. In the Lakeville resident agency we cover Mansfield --
 - 23 MR. CARNEY: Your Honor, I have to reiterate what
 - 24 Mr. Auerhahn said. Could she please talk --
 - THE COURT: Please slow down. It's going to be

```
difficult for the jury, I think, as well as the counsel to
     1
     2
         absorb it if you go that fast.
     3
                  THE WITNESS: Sorry.
                  THE COURT: Take a breath.
     4
     5
                  THE WITNESS: In the Lakeville resident agency we
     6
         cover -- our territory includes the town of Mansfield. And the
     7
         Boston office had asked me to assist in an electronic
         monitoring in Mansfield, which is part of our territory.
         BY MR. AUERHAHN:
              And you mentioned earlier you went to Apartment 11.
00:47 10
         0.
                                                                     Was
    11
         that the target of the interception?
    12
         Α.
              Correct.
    13
              The electronic interception?
         Ο.
    14
              And who lived in that apartment?
              Insaf Masood.
    15
         Α.
            And where was Mr. Masood at the time?
    16
         Q.
    17
         Α.
             He was overseas.
    18
             Was someone else living there at the time?
         Q.
    19
         Α.
             Tarek Mehanna was living there.
00:47 20
         Q.
              And do you -- the Tarek Mehanna who was living there, is
    21
         he in the courtroom today?
    22
         Α.
              He is.
    23
              Can you identify him for the record, please?
    24
         Α.
             He's sitting over at that table.
    25
         Q.
              In a gray suit?
```

- 1 A. Yes. Gray suit and beard.
- 2 MR. AUERHAHN: May the record reflect she's identified
- 3 the defendant.
- THE COURT: Yes.
- 5 MR. AUERHAHN: Thank you, your Honor.
- 6 BY MR. AUERHAHN:
- 7 | Q. Now, were you there alone or with other agents?
- 8 A. I was with a technical agent.
- 9 Q. And were there other agents in the area?
- 00:48 10 A. There were agents conducting surveillance at the time.
 - 11 Q. Now, what were the -- and again in general terms, what
 - 12 were the duties of the technical agent and what were your
 - 13 duties on that day?
 - 14 A. I was really there just to assist if they needed anything.
 - 15 I actually started the recording, stopped the recording; the
 - 16 technical agent handled all the installation.
 - 17 Q. And who was responsible for turning the tape on, turning
 - 18 the tape off?
 - 19 A. I did.
- 00:48 20 | Q. And during the actual period of the interception, did you
 - 21 have anything so you could listen to the conversations being
 - 22 recorded?
 - 23 A. I had headphones.
 - 24 Q. And were you -- at the time you arrived and were in place,
 - 25 was Mr. Mehanna already in the apartment?

- 1 A. I don't recall if he was there when we arrived. I believe
- 2 we arrived before him. He did arrive approximately one hour
- 3 prior to us beginning the recording, but I believe we were
- 4 | actually on-site before he was.
- 5 Q. And did the recording begin after someone else arrived?
- 6 A. Daniel Spaulding had arrived, and at that time we had
- 7 initiated the recording.
- 8 Q. And during the actual recording of the conversation you
- 9 said you had headphones on?
- 00:49 10 A. I did.
 - 11 Q. So could you hear the conversation that was taking place?
 - 12 A. Unfortunately, the recording, it was very difficult to
 - 13 hear, so I could hear voices, but I couldn't really make out
 - 14 the conversation.
 - 15 Q. So you could tell there was conversation but you couldn't
 - 16 discern the words?
 - 17 A. Correct.
 - 18 Q. And was all the recording done on one tape or on two
 - 19 tapes?
- 00:49 20 A. The recording was done on two tapes. After approximately
 - 21 one hour I switched the tapes so it wouldn't run out.
 - 22 Q. Do you recall approximately what time you turned the first
 - 23 | tape on and what time you turned the first tape off?
 - 24 A. I turned the first tape on at approximately 6:34 p.m.,
 - 25 which is the time Mr. Spaulding arrived, and then I switched

- 1 | the tapes at approximately 7:30 p.m., before it would run out.
- 2 Q. And then you put a second tape in the recorder?
- 3 A. At 7:30 p.m. And I turned that tape off at 8:22 p.m.,
- 4 approximately.
- 5 Q. And did you stay in your location after that period of
- 6 time?
- 7 A. I did.
- 8 Q. Now, at some point did you renew the second tape from the
- 9 recording device?
- 00:50 10 A. We turned the tape off at approximately 8:22 p.m., and
 - 11 then we didn't remove it until approximately 10:34 p.m.
 - 12 Q. And what did you do with each of the tapes after you
 - 13 removed them?
 - 14 A. I took both of the tapes and turned them over to the case
 - 15 agent, Tom Daly, at approximately 11:30 p.m.
 - MR. AUERHAHN: May I approach, your Honor?
 - 17 THE COURT: You may.
 - 18 BY MR. AUERHAHN:
 - 19 Q. I'm going to place before you two light brown envelopes,
- one marked 1D34 and one 1D35. Do you recognize what those are?
 - 21 A. I do. These are the two envelopes that I placed the tapes
 - 22 in that evening.
 - 23 Q. And do you recognize your handwriting on the outside of
 - the envelope?
 - 25 A. I do.

- 1 Q. Okay. And are those called custody envelopes?
- 2 A. They are.
- 3 Q. Okay. And are they specifically for electronic
- 4 interceptions?
- 5 A. They are.
- 6 Q. And so there was a part at the top that you filled out?
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 Q. And what, just sort of, general information do you put on
- 9 the top of that envelope?
- 00:51 10 A. I just put my name, the authority, who the case agent is,
 - 11 what type of tape it is, who was actually intercepted during
 - 12 the conversation, what time I removed it from the equipment.
 - 13 Q. And I don't know if you said so, but the date, I assume,
 - 14 correct?
 - 15 A. Correct. And the date and the location of the recording.
 - 16 Q. And you put each tape in a separate envelope?
 - 17 A. Correct.
 - 18 Q. And what did you do with those envelopes?
 - 19 A. Then I turned these envelopes over to Tom Daly.
- 00:52 20 Q. And who's Tom Daly?
 - 21 A. Tom Daly is the case agent.
 - 22 Q. Did you indicate on the outside of the envelope that you
 - 23 had done that?
 - 24 A. I did.
 - 25 | Q. And did Mr. Daly then sign it indicating he had received

```
1
         it?
     2
             He did.
         Α.
     3
             And did the chain of custody continue after you
         Q.
         relinquished custody of those tapes?
     5
         Α.
             It does.
             Now, the interception you conducted on that day, was that
         pursuant to a court order?
     7
         Α.
              It was.
                  MR. AUERHAHN: Thank you. Nothing further.
00:52 10
                  MR. CARNEY: I have no questions, your Honor.
                                                                  Thank
    11
         you.
    12
                  THE COURT: All right, Ms. Cline. Thank you. You may
    13
         step down.
    14
                  THE WITNESS: Thank you.
    15
                   (The witness is excused.)
                  MR. GROHARING: The government will call Greg Major.
    16
                           GREGORY J. MAJOR, duly sworn
    17
                  THE CLERK: Please be seated.
    18
    19
                  State your name and spell your last name for the
00:53 20
         record, please.
    21
                  THE WITNESS: Gregory J. Major, M-A-J-O-R.
    22
                                DIRECT EXAMINATION
    23
         BY MR. GROHARING:
    24
         Q.
            Good morning, sir.
    25
         Α.
             Good morning.
```

- 1 Q. Where do you work?
- 2 A. I work for the Federal Bureau of Investigation.
- 3 Q. How long have you worked for the FBI?
- 4 A. I've worked for the FBI for the last 37 years.
- 5 Q. And what is your current assignment with the FBI?
- 6 A. I'm assigned with the Forensic Audio/Video Imaging
- 7 Analysis Unit, part of the Operation and Technology Division,
- 8 part of FBI headquarters located at the engineering research
- 9 facility located in Quantico, Virginia.
- 00:54 10 Q. How long have you held that position?
 - 11 A. I've held that position for the last 27 years.
 - 12 Q. Sir, what is your educational background?
 - 13 A. I have a bachelor's degree from St. Edwards University
 - 14 | located in Austin, Texas. I graduated with honors; and other
 - 15 post-technical courses.
 - 16 Q. And have you received any training related to your work?
 - 17 A. Yes, I have. I've received some four years of extensive
 - 18 | training doing this kind of work. During those four years of
 - 19 extensive training I was given a number of things. The first
- 00:55 20 | thing that I was given as a trainee was what I call
 - 21 | "administrative training." I was given training how to make
 - 22 notes and write reports.
 - I was encouraged by the examiners that were training me to
 - 24 take a number of courses. I call it "academic training." I
 - 25 took a number of courses in the Washington, D.C., area while I

was in training. One of the classes that I took was in Rockville, Maryland. I took a number of courses in electronics, math, physics, from a community college. That college was Montgomery College.

I took another course in Rockville, Maryland, in electroacoustics. Another course that I took, I had to travel to Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania. The name of the company was called AVNC. It was a signal processing course.

- Q. Excuse me for interrupting, sir, but is it fair to say you've had a number of courses throughout your career?
- 11 A. Yes, I have.

1

2

3

5

7

00:56 10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

00:56 20

Another part of the training that was given to me was what I call hands-on training. I did some 200 enhancement examinations while I was in training.

Another thing that I did was I was given technical training in the use of the various equipment we had in the laboratory. The technical training we're talking about is the various recorders we had. They may have been microcassettes, audio cassettes, open reels. Also, I was given technical training of the various audio filters we had and the computers we use.

- Q. Sir, throughout the course of your career how many tapes would you estimate that you've examined?
- 24 A. I've examined well over 3,000 audio recordings.
- 25 | Q. Have you also instructed FBI personnel as well as

```
personnel of other federal and state agencies regarding the analysis of audio recordings?
```

- A. Yes, I have. I've given training to state, local, federal and even international law enforcement personnel. These are law enforcement personnel that regularly tour through the
- national academy located at the FBI training division located at Quantico, Virginia.
- I've given also some training to some federal prosecutors,
 and on one occasion given training to some physics students
 that were visiting our facility from Mary Washington College
 located in Fredericksburg, Virginia.
 - 12 Q. And, sir, have you been qualified to testify as an expert
 - in court before?
 - 14 A. Yes, I have.
 - 15 Q. On approximately how many occasions?
 - 16 A. I have been qualified some 45 times.
 - 17 Q. And at some point were you asked to assist in the
 - 18 investigation of this case?
 - 19 A. Yes, I was.
- 00:58 20 Q. What were you asked to do?
 - 21 A. Number one, I received two audio cassettes from the FBI.
 - 22 A special agent, Heidi Williams, had sent a letter asking that
 - 23 I analyze, or examine, two audio cassettes to determine whether
 - 24 I could make enhanced copies of each one.
 - MR. GROHARING: May I approach, your Honor?

- THE COURT: You may.
- 2 BY MR. GROHARING:
- 3 Q. Sir, do you recognize those two envelopes?
- 4 A. Yes, I do.
- 5 Q. What are they?
- 6 A. These are the chain-of-custody envelopes that I received
- 7 from Special Agent Heidi Williams.
- 8 Q. How do you know that they are those envelopes?
- 9 A. They have my name on the chain of custody. When I sign
- 00:59 10 the chain of custody, I go ahead and take custody over the
 - 11 evidence at that point.
 - 12 | Q. And what did you do once you received those envelopes and
 - 13 those tapes?
 - 14 A. The first thing I did after I signed the chain-of-custody
 - 15 envelope, I took the cassette out and I marked the -- each
 - 16 cassette with a laboratory number, a specimen number, my
 - 17 initials and date.
 - 18 Q. And what did you do after that?
 - 19 A. From there, after I went ahead and I marked the evidence,
- 00:59 20 | I played the tape back for the first time and I noted the audio
 - 21 characteristics in the problem areas on the tape.
 - 22 The next thing I did was I went ahead and I viewed the
 - 23 audio signal. We use a spectral analyzer to actually
 - 24 physically see the signal.
 - 25 Q. Sir, can you please just explain briefly and in laymen's

term what a spectral analyzer is?

01:01 20

01:00 10

A. A spectral analyzer is a monitor that displays the audio signal. I like to compare that to much like an electronic technician would use an oscilloscope to see the various component parts. Also, I would equate it to a heart-monitoring device that a doctor may use.

What the spectral analyzer does, it enables the examiner to see various parts of the audio signal. As the tape is being played, it shows up as what I call a dancing line. It's very important that the examiner see that because he can identify the various background noises from it. He can see on the vertical scaling the amplitude, or how loud it is; he can see the bandwidth; he can see the various component parts of the background noise.

The background noise may be on one end of the spectrum like you would see from some bassiness, it might be in the center of the spectrum where it might be some hum, or it might on the other end of the spectrum where there would be audio hiss.

- Q. So after you conducted this analysis, what did you do with the cassettes?
- A. After I went ahead and I did the enhancement, I went ahead and I reviewed the enhanced copies. I marked the enhanced copies with the same corresponding information as on the original cassettes, and I sent both the original audio

- 1 cassettes and enhanced copies back to Special Agent Heidi
- 2 Williams in the Boston office.
- 3 Q. And you mentioned an enhanced copy. What exactly was the
- 4 enhancement that you conducted?
- 5 A. What I did was I made three enhanced audio copies, each of
- 6 which were on a compact disk. The enhancement was what I call
- 7 an improvement over the original recording, and it was a much
- 8 clearer and more understandable recording.
- 9 MR. GROHARING: May I approach, your Honor?
- 01:02 10 THE COURT: You may.
 - 11 BY MR. GROHARING:
 - 12 Q. Sir, do you recognize the envelope that I've just handed
 - 13 you?
 - 14 A. Yes, I do.
 - 15 Q. What is it?
 - 16 A. It's a chain-of-custody envelope.
 - 17 Q. And what is -- do you recognize your name on that
 - 18 | envelope?
 - 19 A. No, I do not.
- 01:02 20 | Q. Do you recognize the contents of the envelope?
 - 21 A. Yes, I do.
 - 22 Q. What are they?
 - 23 A. The contents of the envelope contain the two CD copies
 - 24 that I made that were enhanced copies of each one of the
 - 25 original audio cassettes. They have my initials, date,

- 1 corresponding laboratory number and specimen number.
- 2 Q. And how did you send those copies back to the FBI?
- 3 A. I sent them back via FedEx back to Special Agent Heidi
- 4 Williams.
- 5 Q. And then they were placed in the chain-of-custody envelope
- 6 at that point. Is that your understanding?
- 7 A. No, they were not. What I did was I sent them back in
- 8 this plastic envelope.
- 9 Q. And then when they got back to the FBI is when they were
- 01:03 10 placed in the chain-of-custody envelope. Is that your
 - 11 understanding?
 - 12 A. I assume they were.
 - 13 Q. Now, throughout the course of your analysis and the
 - 14 enhancement you just described, did you alter the substance of
 - any of the words of the speakers that were contained on that
 - 16 recording?
 - 17 A. No, I did not.
 - 18 MR. GROHARING: That's all the questions I have, your
 - 19 Honor.
- 01:03 20 MR. CARNEY: I have nothing. Thank you, your Honor.
 - 21 THE COURT: All right, Mr. Major. Thank you. You may
 - 22 step down.
 - THE WITNESS: Thank you.
 - 24 (The witness is excused.)
 - 25 MR. GROHARING: The next witness is Tyrone Via.

```
TYRONE T. VIA, duly sworn
     1
     2
                  THE CLERK: Please be seated.
                  State your name and spell your last name for the
     3
         record.
     4
     5
                  THE WITNESS: My name is William T. Via. The last
     6
         name is spelled V-I-A.
     7
                  THE CLERK: Thank you.
     8
                                 DIRECT EXAMINATION
         BY MR. GROHARING:
01:05 10
         Q.
              Good morning, sir.
    11
         A. Good morning.
             Where do you work?
    12
    13
             I work for the FBI.
         Α.
    14
         Q.
             And how long have you been employed with the FBI?
              For 23 years.
    15
         Α.
             What is your current position?
    16
              Currently I am assigned to the Operation Technology
    17
         Division as a unit chief of the Telecommunications, Intercept
    18
    19
         and Collection Technology Unit.
01:05 20
         Q.
              And what are your responsibilities in that position?
             As unit chief of TICT-2, which is the acronym for the name
    21
    22
         of the unit, I'm responsible for providing electronic
         surveillance equipment to the entire FBI. And that equipment
    23
         can be for Title 3, which is criminal collection, or for Title
    24
         50, which is the FISA collection equipment.
    25
```

7

8

11

19

21

22

23

24

01:07 20

01:06 10

- Q. And do you have other responsibilities in that office as well?
- A. Yes, sir. I'm responsible for seven other programs within the unit.

Also with the collection equipment that I am responsible for, we do the purchasing of equipment; we provide the equipment to the field office; we install the equipment; we do training to the technical training agents in the field; we do lifecycle support of that equipment; and also we're responsible for the 24-7 helpdesk to ensure that equipment stays up and running at all times.

- Q. What did you do before joining the Operational Technology
 Division?
- A. I spent six years in a Los Angeles field office, from 1993
 to 2000 -- 1993 to 1998 in the Los Angeles division. And from
 16 1988 to 1993 I was assigned to the Pittsburgh field office.
- Q. You mentioned before the term "technically trained agent."

 What is a "technically trained agent"?
 - A. A technically trained agent is a special agent of the FBI who has chosen to go into the technical aspect of being an agent. This person would work in a central monitoring plant, at a field office, working with the collection equipment as well as other type of assistance to case agents doing investigations.
- 25 Q. And, sir, in your duties with the operational technology

- division, are you familiar with the procedures the FBI follows
 to intercept telephone calls?
- 3 A. Yes, I am.
- 4 | Q. And are you familiar with the procedures that the FBI
- 5 follows to intercept calls pursuant to authorization from the
- 6 Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court?
- 7 A. Yes, I am.
 - Q. How much training have you had in that regard?
- 9 A. I became a technical training agent 20 years ago. And so
- 01:08 10 I did this type of work in the Los Angeles field office, and
 - 11 from the Los Angeles field office I transferred to the unit
 - 12 which is called TICT-2 where I was a supervisor doing the same
 - 13 type of work, working with the FISA collection equipment. And
 - 14 then I became the unit chief of the same unit where I became
 - 15 responsible for the entire unit.
 - MR. GROHARING: Could I have the exhibit displayed for
 - 17 | the witness, please?
 - 18 Q. Sir, I want to ask you some questions about the intercept
 - 19 of wireless telephone calls. Do you recognize the document
- 01:08 20 that appears on your screen?
 - 21 A. Yes, I do.
 - 22 Q. This document?
 - 23 A. Yes, sir, I do.
 - 24 Q. What is it?
 - 25 A. This would be a good example of how a wireless CALEA

```
1
         intercept would be done. And CALEA is known as a
         Communications Assistance to Law Enforcement Act intercept.
     2
              And that's a congressional act that you're referring to?
     3
              No, it's the Communications Assistance to Law Enforcement
     5
         Act.
         Ο.
              The act, it's a congressional act, correct?
     7
             Yes, sir.
         Α.
              And is this an accurate representation of the process the
     8
         Q.
         FBI uses to intercept cellular telephone calls?
01:09 10
         Α.
              Yes, sir, it is.
    11
            Will this chart help you explain your testimony?
    12
         A. Yes, sir, it will.
    13
                  MR. GROHARING: Your Honor, I would ask that the
    14
         exhibit be admitted, page 2 specifically of this exhibit, and
    15
         that it be published to the jury.
                  MR. CARNEY: No objection, your Honor.
    16
                  THE CLERK: Counsel, what's the number?
    17
    18
                  MR. GROHARING: I'm sorry. 298.
    19
                  THE COURT: It's a two-page document?
01:09 20
                  MR. GROHARING: I believe it's a four-page document.
    21
         I only want page 2 admitted and displayed to the jury. We
    22
         won't be using the other three pages.
    23
                  THE COURT: Okay. So it's a one-page document?
    24
         right.
```

MR. CARNEY: No objection.

1 THE COURT: Without objection? 2 MR. CARNEY: Without. (Government Exhibit No. 298 received into evidence.) 3 BY MR. GROHARING: 4 5 Special Agent Via, would you please explain to the jury what procedures the FBI follows once a valid court order is 7 entered to intercept wireless telephone communications? Yes, sir. In this particular diagram, I would ask you to 8 look to the far right where it says "FBI office." It's 01:10 10 important to understand that we have technically trained agents 11 in each one of the field offices that will work with the FISA 12 equipment once the court order has been provisioned by the 13 telephone company. So I work in the Operation Technology 14 Division which is located in Quantico, Virginia. So it's 15 important to understand that the field office plays a big role in this. 16 If you go down to the lower right side where it says 17 "carrier provisioning function," in a CALEA-type intercept, the 18 19 telephone company would do all the provisioning -- or we call 01:11 20 it setup -- of the actual court order. All of that is done by 21 the carrier. So you see the person sitting there at a monitor, 22 sits with a sole monitor with technology today, that person can provision that line sitting right at a terminal. 23 24 The telephone switch company is in the middle which that 25 box would rise back up to. In a CALEA-type intercept, the

01:13 20

01:12 10

intercept is done in two parts. If you see the dotted line, it says "call content channel." That's a telephone term. "Call content" is the actual audio that you would be hearing in a full-blown FISA case. The yellow dotted line above it says "call data channel." That's the actual digits that are dialed. Those digits could be incoming or outgoing. That information would control the start time of the call, the end time of the call, and then the duration of the call.

Q. And this information that's collected, the call data and the call content, where does it go?

A. Okay. The call data, part of the two parts, that data would go to my unit at Quantico. And we have a server farm

would go to my unit at Quantico. And we have a server farm right inside of our labs. Once that data reaches Quantico, we have our own internal network that we would go out to all of the field offices that have the collection equipment.

Internally we program -- we're able to use software so that the data will know what field office to go to and also what port it would go to once it landed to the field office.

So the data comes from the telephone company to Quantico, and at Quantico we have a network where we send it out to the field office.

On the other hand, the audio, or the call content channel, it will go directly to the field office from the closest central office. That could be done through a dedicated circuit or that could be done through what is known as a ring-down

- 1 line. Whenever the person of interest goes off-hook or raises
- 2 his telephone receiver, it would ring down to the switch at the
- 3 field office. The data and the audio are mixed together and
- 4 it's delivered to the linguist or the case agent. And that
- 5 person would hear both parts of the conversation.
- 6 Q. And how does a linguist or a case agent review those
- 7 materials?
- 8 A. They would be inside of a room, and they would only have
- 9 privileges for the certain case that they're working. And when
- 01:14 10 the phone goes off-hook, the equipment automatically cuts on
 - and they're able to listen to that audio and view from a screen
 - 12 the information called the data: meaning the time of the call,
 - 13 the duration of the call, and how long the call actually was.
 - 14 Q. And what is the name of the system that they would review
 - 15 that material on?
 - 16 A. The system is known as the Red Wolf Digital Collection
 - 17 | System.
 - 18 Q. Is there also a system called the Red Tiger System?
 - 19 A. That version is a newer system, but it was not there
- 01:14 20 | during 2006, is when this case --
 - 21 O. Is it fair to say in 2006 someone would have reviewed
 - 22 these materials with Red Wolf; in 2011 they would now use Red
 - 23 Tiger?
 - 24 A. Yes, sir.
 - 25 Q. How is this information stored on the Red Wolf System?

01:16 20

01:15 10

- A. On the Red Wolf System we have what is known as "magneto optical disk." It's a disk that has a -- basically a CD inside of it, but encased in a protective cover. And those disks are imported into what is known as a jukebox. And that disk would be assigned by an administrator to that particular case.
- Q. How long would the material remain on that Red Wolf System?
 - A. Okay. That audio and data can stay on the system based on what is agreed upon from the case agent and the administrator, the administrator of the system. Basically, we will allow the audio and data to stay on the system three months, or 90 days, after it has been put on an MO, or magneto optical disk.

Also, it's important to say that if a -- we can check boxes on the Red Wolf System, that if an audio session or a phone call had not been reviewed, it could be checked as unreviewed. So when 90 days would go by, the audio and data that would drop off the system would be the information that has already been previewed or marked as being non-pertinent.

So what has not been reviewed would be the last part that would fall off the system; however, please understand that it's all captured on the magneto optical disk, so no audio or data is ever lost.

- Q. So after the 90-day period, then, where does that information go?
- A. If it's on the system, it just is deleted.

- Q. Is it -- you mentioned before it was saved on magneto optical disk?
- 3 A. Yes, it is.
- $4 \mid Q$. At that point what is done with those disks?
- 5 A. Okay. The magneto optical disk, once it's full, the
- 6 system would notify the administrator that the tape is full.
- 7 At that time the case agent or his designee would come to the
- 8 central monitoring plant, would pull that MO off the system,
- 9 would put it in an evidence envelope and take it down to the
- 01:17 10 | evidence for storage.
 - 11 Q. You mentioned earlier that, then, with the Red Wolf
 - 12 System, an agent or linguist is able to review the calls. Are
 - 13 they able to manipulate particular calls or data on that
 - 14 system?
 - 15 A. No, they're not. If they are reviewing the audio or the
 - data, they are doing it from a separate room. The system is
 - 17 locked down away from anyone else other than the
 - 18 administrators. So they would be in a room set aside for that
 - 19 particular case, and they would only have privileges to review
- 01:18 20 | and also decide which sessions they want to put on a CD to
 - 21 listen to later, but not manipulate the system.
 - 22 Q. What procedures are used to ensure that these systems are
 - 23 working properly?
 - 24 A. From the start, again, I would share with you that the
 - 25 telephone company does all the provisioning, so they would be

```
1
         the first step. But we continue to work with the couriers
         throughout the year. And everything is already prewired. So
     2
         this has been done since 1994. So we have that in place, that
     3
         once the provision is done, we can test continually with the
     4
     5
         telephone company to make sure that everything is working.
              We have a 24-7 helpdesk that can monitor the system.
     7
         have electronic equipment in the central monitoring plant to
         watch the heat, to watch the electricity, and also to watch the
     8
         cards on the system to ensure they're working properly. And if
01:19 10
         we get what we consider to be a red light, then something could
    11
         be going on in a field office where we would notify them from
    12
         Quantico to go check a part of the system.
    13
              Throughout your career are you aware of any instances when
         0.
    14
         data or content of these captured calls pursuant to a FISA
    15
         authorization have been manipulated?
    16
         Α.
             No, I have not.
    17
                  MR. GROHARING: That's all I have, your Honor.
    18
                  MR. CARNEY: A man has to know his limitations.
    19
         have no questions.
01:19 20
                  THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Via. You may step down.
    21
                  THE WITNESS:
                                Thank you.
    22
                  (The witness is excused.)
    23
                  MR. AUERHAHN: Meredith D. Sparano.
    24
                           MEREDITH SPARANO, duly sworn
    25
                  THE CLERK: Please be seated. State your name and
```

- 1 spell your last name for the record.
- THE WITNESS: Meredith Diana Sparano, S-P-A-R-A-N-O.
- 3 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 4 BY MR. AUERHAHN:
- 5 Q. Good morning, ma'am.
- 6 A. Good morning.
- 7 Q. How are you employed?
- 8 A. I am employed with the Federal Bureau of Investigation.
- 9 Q. In what capacity?
- 01:21 10 A. I work in the ELSUR Unit, which is electronic
 - 11 surveillance, as an ELSUR operations technician.
 - 12 Q. How long have you been so employed?
 - 13 A. I've been employed for two years and a little over seven
 - 14 months.
 - 15 Q. Could you generally describe your duties as the ELSUR
 - 16 clerk?
 - 17 A. I ensure the proper handling and storage of all ELSUR
 - 18 media that comes in for the Boston division.
 - 19 Q. And maybe a little bit more detail can describe what that
- 01:21 20 entails?
 - 21 A. Sure. Agents bring in evidence that they have collected
 - 22 in the ELSUR capacity and they release the custody to us. We
 - 23 make sure that the chain of custody has been signed as
 - 24 collected and then released to an ELSUR tech, and from there we
 - 25 enter it into our automatic case support system, which is a

- digital backup for the chain of custody in which we issue it a
- 2 | 1D number to be specific with that bar code, and then we store
- 3 it in our ELSUR vault.
- 4 Q. So let's break it down a little. First of all, when you
- 5 talk about "ELSUR," you said that means electronic
- 6 surveillance?
- 7 A. That's correct.
- 8 Q. Is it both electronic surveillance that's generated as a
- 9 result of consensual recordings where someone consents to be
- 01:22 10 recorded as well as court-authorized?
 - 11 A. That is correct. We accept both consensual monitorings
 - 12 and court-authorized media.
 - 13 Q. And do you receive -- does the chain of custody begin with
 - 14 the agent who takes custody of the original tape-recording, if
 - 15 we're talking about a tape?
 - 16 A. Yes. Every piece of evidence is entered on a 504B
 - 17 envelope which has two sides of a chain of custody: One is
 - 18 where it was collected, which the agent signs, and the date in
 - 19 which it was collected; and then on the other side the agent
- 01:22 20 releases it to the ELSUR tech. Upon releasing it, we write
 - 21 down the date and time in which they give it to us, so those
 - 22 times should be the exact date and time. And then when we
 - 23 store it we put that date and time.
 - 24 Q. Okay.
 - MR. AUERHAHN: May I approach, your Honor?

```
1
                  THE COURT: You may.
         BY MR. AUERHAHN:
     2
              I'm going to place in front of you --
                  MR. AUERHAHN: For the record, I'll identify them as
     5
         1D34.
         Ο.
             Is that correct?
     7
         Α.
             Yes.
             1D35?
         Q.
         Α.
             Yes.
01:23 10
         Q.
             1D58?
    11
         Α.
             Yup.
             1D63, 1D8 and -9. Did I read that correctly?
    12
    13
             -8, -9, -63. Yes.
         Α.
```

- 16 A. Yes, they're each the 504B envelope.
- 17 Q. Okay. And there's a top half of the form, and that's the

Okay. And these are each the custody envelopes that you

- 18 part that's filled out by the agent?
- 19 A. Yes. The ELSUR tech is able to assist the agent if they
- on one of are unaware of how to fill it out, but the agent does that upon
 - 21 collecting the evidence.

just described?

14

- 22 Q. And when the original agent relinquished custody, is there
- 23 a notation on the front of the envelope?
- 24 A. Yes. There's an "accepted custody" column on the left and
- 25 "release custody." When they accept it, they sign their name

```
1
         and the date, and then when they release it, they sign the
         right-hand side, in which the ELSUR tech underneath signs when
     2
         they received the evidence and puts "evidence control," and
     3
         then they store it in their release custody side.
     5
              And do you always receive these envelopes directly from a
         human being?
     7
              Not always. The Boston division has resident agencies in
         New Hampshire, Maine, Rhode Island, as well as Massachusetts,
         so those would be sent via FedEx. Upon release and custody the
01:24 10
         agent would say "FedEx," so... In the reasoning, they would
    11
         say "to ELSUR" and include the FedEx number. Upon receiving
         it, which will usually be the day later, or the Monday after
    12
    13
         the Friday if they send it on a Friday, we also put the FedEx
    14
         number to track that it was being sent through that same
    15
         number. And then we will accept it when we receive it in our
         mail collection that day.
    16
              Okay. And as a matter of fact, one of those custody
    17
    18
         envelopes, does it indicate -- or actually three of them,
    19
         indicate that they went through FedEx?
01:25 20
                  MR. AUERHAHN: If I may approach?
    21
                  THE COURT: Go ahead.
    22
                  THE WITNESS: Yes. 1D34 and -35 were sent to be
    23
         enhanced, which is the 1D58 copy, and so those include the
    24
         FedEx number in the chain of custody.
         BY MR. AUERHAHN:
    25
```

- Q. Okay. And when received either the original chain of custody or the return from the enhancement, what do you do with the contents and the envelope?
 - A. We make sure that the evidence has been resealed. That date and time should correlate when the agent either returns it to us or the ELSUR tech can seal it and sign it. So that date and time should correlate with the same day that -- we then update the chain of custody in or ACS company and then restore it in our ELSUR vault.
- O1:26 10 Q. Okay. When you say restore it in your ELSUR vault,

 11 without too much detail, what do you mean by that?

7

12

13

14

15

24

- A. We have two rooms in our ELSUR vault: one for secret, classified evidence, and the other for unclas-. The vault is secured with an alarm, a spin-dial lock, and then a key for those of us with restricted access.
- Q. And if an agent needs to review the material, wants the custody back or, for example, released to court here, is it self-service or do you have to get the --
- A. No, only those with restricted access. So either myself
 or the other EOT will take the request from the agent, and then
 we will enter the vault and bring out the piece of evidence.
 We will sign the chain as we took control of the evidence and
 then release it to the agent, and then the agent will then sign
 - Q. Okay. And you mentioned a backup ACS system. What is

there in person that they maintained custody of it.

that?

- 2 A. The ACS system is an automated case support system in
- 3 | which when we receive any piece of evidence we enter, based on
- 4 the case, that we collected an item. Upon doing that, it
- 5 automatically updates the next 1D number, which correlates to
- 6 the bar code that we assign each piece. And in writing a
- 7 | little description, we also update the chain of custody so that
- 8 it matches each 504B envelope.
- 9 MR. AUERHAHN: That's all, your Honor.
- 01:27 10 CROSS-EXAMINATION
 - 11 BY MS. BASSIL:
 - 12 Q. Good morning.
 - 13 A. Good morning.
 - 14 Q. I just wanted to ask you a couple of questions. I was
 - 15 unclear about -- you keep using the name ELSUR?
 - 16 A. ELSUR, E- --
 - 17 Q. ELSUR. And those are E-L-S-U-R?
 - 18 A. That's correct.
 - 19 Q. What does that stand for?
- 01:28 20 A. Electronic surveillance.
 - 21 Q. Okay. So the E-L is "electronic" and then S-U-R is
 - "surveillance"?
 - 23 A. That's correct.
 - 24 Q. And you mentioned the phrase EOT. You and another EOT?
 - 25 A. It's an ELSUR operations technician.

```
Q. Okay. And I had a question. You said that as things come
     1
         in, there is a bar code put on it so it matches to a particular
     2
     3
         case?
              The bar code -- we have spare bar codes. We put the bar
     5
         code on each 504B envelope. That bar code correlates to the 1D
         number which is specific to that case to which the evidence
     7
         pertains.
         Q. All right. And did you receive any other envelopes in
         this case?
01:28 10
         Α.
             How do you mean?
    11
         Q. Well, you have a number of envelopes there in front of
         you. Were there other ones in this case?
    12
    13
             Yes, that's correct.
         Α.
    14
         Q. All right.
    15
                  MS. BASSIL: I have no further questions.
                  MR. AUERHAHN: Your Honor, actually, if I could go
    16
    17
         beyond the scope of cross because I've been informed that we
    18
         overlooked one.
    19
                  MS. BASSIL: Okay.
01:29 20
                  MR. AUERHAHN: May I approach, your Honor?
    21
                  THE COURT: Go ahead.
    22
                               REDIRECT EXAMINATION
    23
         BY MR. AUERHAHN:
              If I can show you 1D106, can you also tell me what that
```

25

Q.

is?

```
1
              That was the last piece of evidence that was entered for
         this case.
     2
              All right. And is that chain-of-custody envelope similar
     3
         Q.
         to the ones you've just described?
     4
     5
         Α.
              Yes; it is a 504B envelope.
              Okay. And does it describe what it is?
     7
              It gives a range of intercept dates for court-authorized
         intercepts listing the subject's name and the intercept date.
     8
              Okay. And these three that you identified previously, do
     9
         Ο.
01:29 10
         these contain MOU disks, also range of dates?
    11
             Yes, they do. They are -- "FISA" is labeled on the 504B
    12
         envelope.
    13
                  MR. AUERHAHN: Thank you, your Honor.
    14
                  Thank you, Ms. Bassil.
                  THE COURT: Anything else?
    15
                  MS. BASSIL: No further questions.
    16
                  THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Ms. Sparano.
    17
    18
         may step down.
    19
                  THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.
01:30 20
                   (The witness is excused.)
    21
                  MR. CHAKRAVARTY: Robert Gemme.
    22
                             ROBERT GEMME, duly sworn
    23
                                 DIRECT EXAMINATION
         BY MR. CHAKRAVARTY:
    24
    25
         Q.
            Good morning.
```

- 1 A. Good morning.
- 2 Q. Can you please state where you work.
- 3 A. I work for the Federal Bureau of Investigation.
- 4 Q. And you go by Robert Gemme?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. In what capacity are you employed at the FBI?
- 7 A. I'm an evidence technician.
- 8 Q. In which field office?
- 9 A. The Boston field office.
- 01:32 10 Q. How long have you done that?
 - 11 A. 22 and a half years, approximately.
 - 12 Q. What did you do before that?
 - 13 A. I was newly hired in 1989, worked at the mail desk for six
 - 14 months, and then I became an evidence technician.
 - 15 Q. Okay. Are you familiar with the electronic surveillance
 - 16 custody-and-handling procedures?
 - 17 A. Not really, no.
 - 18 Q. So is evidence treated differently than electronic
 - 19 surveillance?
- 01:32 20 A. With the chain-of-custody issues, no.
 - 21 Q. So in what capacity -- what ways do they differ?
 - 22 A. It's basically the paperwork to enter the electronic
 - 23 evidence into storage, into evidence.
 - 24 Q. Okay. So when you say "paperwork," is it specifically the
 - 25 forms are different?

- A. Yes. Yes. Some of the forms, not all of them.
- Q. But the process for handling and storage is essentially
- 3 the same?

- 4 | A. Essentially, yes.
- 5 Q. Can you just give an overview of what that
- 6 evidence-control process is for the jury?
- 7 A. When we get evidence, basically what happens is the
- 8 evidence is entered onto an FD192, which is a control sheet,
- 9 and I enter it into the computer after verifying what they have
- on the sheet is exactly what they're putting in. And then it
 - 11 receives a bar code number which stays with that piece of
 - 12 evidence through the life of the evidence. And then once all
 - 13 the paperwork is done, it is stored in the evidence-control
 - 14 room until someone comes to get it for review or disposition.
 - 15 Q. And then what happens when somebody needs to check
 - 16 something out of evidence?
 - 17 A. I relinquish it to them on the chain of custody, and they
 - 18 | accept it also on the chain of custody at the same time. Also,
 - 19 the ACS, which is the evidence-control database, is updated to
- 01:34 20 reflect the same time as the chain of custody.
 - 21 Q. When evidence is brought to you, what happens for the
 - 22 | first item to be checked in?
 - 23 A. It is checked in, and once it receives the bar code and
 - 24 the chain of custody is signed by me indicating for storage, it
 - 25 is placed in the evidence-control room until, like I said,

- further review or someone needs it for court or something like that.
- 3 | Q. Who has access to the evidence-control room?
- 4 A. There are two evidence-control technicians and three
- 5 alternates, and those are the only people that have access.
- 6 Q. What types of materials get put into evidence? Obviously,
- 7 the universe of FBI investigations is broad, but in terms of
- 8 physically what types of things go in there?
- 9 A. It could be anything except for electronic surveillance 01:35 10 tapes and stuff. We get everything else but that.
 - 11 Q. Are you familiar with -- what is the evidence numbering
 - 12 convention in the evidence-control room?
 - 13 A. The 1B numbers --
 - 14 Q. Yes.
 - 15 A. -- you're referring to?
 - Once a piece of evidence comes in, it receives a 1B
 - 17 | number. That is basically just the identifier for the evidence
 - 18 system. And as an additional piece of evidence comes in, it
 - 19 gets the next 1B number, so they are consecutive. And that's
- 01:35 20 throughout the bureau.
 - 21 O. Okay. So does each case have their own series of 1B
 - 22 numbers?
 - 23 A. Yes.
 - 24 Q. And that's in addition to the bar code that you mentioned?
 - 25 A. Correct.

- 1 Q. Do you know what 1B signifies, like the B --
- 2 A. No. No. We have different signifiers. 1As, 1Bs, 1Cs and
- 3 1Ds is the ELSUR.
- 4 Q. So it's the electronic --
- 5 A. Exactly.
- 6 Q. So with regard to this case, were there several items of
- 7 | evidence which were checked out of evidence for purposes of
- 8 this trial?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 01:36 10 Q. I'm going to direct your attention to the box to your
 - 11 right, and I'm going to ask you, if you wouldn't mind, going
 - 12 through each one of those and pulling out those pieces of
 - 13 evidence that you had custody of in the evidence-control room.
 - 14 MR. CHAKRAVARTY: While he's doing that, your Honor,
 - 15 may I approach with two others?
 - 16 THE COURT: Go ahead.
 - 17 (Pause.)
 - 18 BY MR. CHAKRAVARTY:
 - 19 Q. Mr. Gemme, just for purposes of the record, those items
- 01:37 20 | that you took out of that box, were those all at the FBI
 - 21 field -- Boston field division's evidence-control room?
 - 22 A. Yes.
 - 23 Q. How do you know that?
 - 24 A. By the 1B number, and also by the bar code number and the
 - 25 file number.

- 1 Q. All right. And were those checked out for purposes of
- 2 this case?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Can you just -- for the purposes of the record, just go
- 5 through and read each of those 1B numbers into the record?
- 6 A. This is 1B61. Would you like me to state the file number
- 7 also or --
- 8 Q. No, that's fine.
- 9 A. 1B61, 1B2, 1B1, 1B4, 1B71, 1B59, 1B29, 1B72, 1B20, 1B16,
- 01:38 10 1B66, and 1B1. This is from a Washington field case.
 - 11 Q. So let me stop you there. Is it common that evidence is
 - 12 sent from one field division to another field division?
 - 13 A. Yes.
 - 14 Q. And how is it usually sent?
 - 15 A. It is usually sent via FedEx, and also -- and when it is
 - 16 sent, it is transferred through the computer system and
 - 17 | accepted in the field division that is receiving it to show
 - 18 that the evidence was transferred, and it also is also
 - 19 transferred on the manual chain of custody.
- 01:39 20 Q. So that piece of evidence, the 1B1 that you just mentioned
 - 21 from the Washington field division, did that follow that
 - 22 procedure that you just described?
 - 23 A. Yes.
 - 24 Q. Okay. So it's temporarily in the custody of the Boston
 - 25 | field division?

```
1
         Α.
              Correct. Correct.
     2
         Q.
            Continue.
     3
         Α.
             And also, 1B37.
     4
                  MR. CHAKRAVARTY: Thank you, Mr. Gemme.
     5
                  THE COURT: You're welcome.
     6
                  MS. PATEL: No questions, your Honor.
     7
                  THE COURT: All right, Mr. Gemme. Thank you. You may
     8
         step down.
                  THE WITNESS: Thank you.
01:40 10
                  (The witness is excused.)
    11
                  MR. CHAKRAVARTY: Your Honor, the next witness is
    12
         Agent Greg Hughes. And there may be some items -- and I don't
    13
               We had some discussion with my brothers and sisters as
    14
         to whether there are going to be any issues we should raise
    15
         before he testifies.
                  MR. CARNEY: I think that would be useful, your Honor,
    16
    17
         please.
    18
                  THE COURT: All right. Why don't we excuse the jury
    19
         for a minute and we'll talk about those.
01:40 20
                  THE CLERK: All rise for the jury.
    21
                   (The jury exits the courtroom at 10:24 a.m.)
    22
                  THE COURT: You may be seated.
    23
                  MR. CARNEY: If I may just have one moment to see if
         we could resolve it?
    24
    25
                   (Counsel confer off the record.)
```

```
1
                  THE COURT: If this is going to take a while, maybe we
         should take a break.
     2
     3
                  MR. CARNEY: We're this close. Honestly.
                  THE COURT: All right.
     4
     5
                  MR. AUERHAHN: I think we can say we're ready.
     6
                  MR. CARNEY: Your Honor, the matter that we requested
     7
         some time on, we have now resolved. Prior to this witness, we
         were going to interpose some objections to the admissibility of
     8
         certain documents. We're prepared to alert your Honor as to
01:46 10
         what those objections are before the witness comes out, and
    11
         that way, if your Honor rules, our objections will be noted if
         you overrule them; if they're sustained, the government will
    12
    13
         know not to offer the documents.
    14
                  THE COURT: Okay. I'm not sure I entirely follow
         that. This fellow is from Yahoo?
    15
                  MR. CHAKRAVARTY: No, your Honor. This is an FBI
    16
         agent who extracted from a variety of different sources of
    17
    18
         evidence.
    19
                  So the preview to your Honor, though, is all of the
01:46 20
         FBI kind of interception techniques and the other things that
         we've heard about the last few days, this witness is going to
    21
    22
         describe all of those being extracted, and then we'll offer
    23
         those into evidence. So to the extent that there's relevancy
    24
         and 403-type concerns, I suspect that defense has objections
    25
         with regards to that.
```

1 THE COURT: Well, this is like the one issue we had the other day which is when there's a batch offer, in a sense. 2 3 MS. BASSIL: Right. THE COURT: And thinking back on that, and it may 4 5 apply to this as well, it seems to me there's a kind of 6 two-step process between 402 and 403. The proponent has the 7 obligation to satisfy 402 by showing that there's enough relevance for admissibility. Then I think it's the opponent 8 who has the obligation under 403 to show why, notwithstanding 01:47 10 its relevancy, it should be excluded. 11 MS. BASSIL: Well, if I could --THE COURT: And so that's the general framework. 12 13 Then it becomes an efficiency conduct-of-trial issue 14 as to how to make those assessments which may vary within the batch. 15 MR. CARNEY: Yes. 16 MS. BASSIL: That's correct. 17 18 THE COURT: The thought I had with respect to the 19 other matters, which I think were mostly photographs which we 01:47 20 kind of put aside because of other matters, was that we could 21 figure out some out-of-session way for me to review that with 22 the objections. And that would probably take some time, given 23 the volume, but that if there were an exhibit that somebody 24 wanted to show during examination to the jury, we could

consider those -- I was assuming for these thoughts that that

```
would be a smaller number, and we might be able to just do that
     1
         as we went along. Now, I don't know whether that rubric would
     2
     3
         apply here as well.
     4
                  MR. CARNEY: Your Honor, that process is entirely
     5
         acceptable to us. There might even be -- I'm sorry.
                  MR. CHAKRAVARTY: I'm sorry. No. This witness is not
     6
     7
         expected to publish any of these materials to the jury at this
         point.
     9
                  MS. BASSIL: So we have sort of a general objection,
01:48 10
         which I could make now, about the slew of instant messages that
    11
         they're going to use. But as to more specific objections, we
    12
         can give those to you at a later time and give them to you as a
    13
         group or a list.
    14
                  THE COURT: Yes. I think it will be necessary
    15
         because --
                  MS. BASSIL: Yes.
    16
                  THE COURT: Well, let me just leave it at that.
    17
    18
         think it will be necessary to do that because I think the 403
    19
         kinds of objections are more likely to be particular whereas it
01:49 20
         may be that the relevance threshold may be more general.
    21
         so that it may be easier to talk about a group in 402 terms,
    22
         but you have to talk about individuals in 403 terms. And
    23
         that's the difference.
    24
                  MS. BASSIL: Correct. Some things are a group and
    25
         some things are very specific.
```

THE COURT: Right.

01:50 10

01:50 20

MR. CARNEY: Your Honor, I think the record is clear that even if you put in -- or overrule our initial objection so that the exhibits are admitted, we have preserved our right to revisit the issue with your Honor to focus on individual exhibits. And since the government has informed me that they don't intend to publish the content of these exhibits to the jury, I believe we're going to be able to move quite quickly through this.

MR. CHAKRAVARTY: Your Honor, there is one exception. With regard to the stored instant messages communications,
Mr. Hughes would describe one of them and how he compared them to what was on the computer and how he extracted it.

MR. CARNEY: Mr. Groharing...

MR. CHAKRAVARTY: The other aspects of this, which hopefully it will streamline it, and we regret we weren't able to arrive at some type of agreement before trial, but the government, in principle, has no objection to the authentication of additional stored communications which the government is not introducing but were collected from the same source; however, we need to deal with each of those like we're dealing with the government's exhibits because amongst other reasons, those were not finalized in terms of translations. So we would have to, you know, re-check each of the ones that the defense was --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

01:52 20

01:51 10

THE COURT: What's the number of those, do you know? MS. BASSIL: Well, for example, your Honor, what I've done is -- so, for example, the first civilian witness that I'm going to cross-examine, I went through the exhibits that the government was going to use in terms of instant messages, and I have, I think -- I have 11 additional instant messages. THE COURT: That's manageable. MS. BASSIL: Okay. And I used -- you have to understand there were two versions of these instant messages. So these correspond to the very last version which included translations, all right? And then the other issue was -- and I don't know whether we can take this as each one comes up or not, but in our exhibits that we had sent in ahead of time, all right, there are -- as I call these in a group, there are a number of instant messages; there are four transcripts and telephone calls the government gave us from a witness who is in prison and they recorded his prison phone calls; and then the third group are emails which also the government -- these are everything the government gave us. These are not sources that we carved out or we found. THE COURT: Okay. So --MR. CARNEY: The issue that Mr. Chakravarty raised has been satisfied to our satisfaction. THE COURT: Okay.

```
1
                  MS. BASSIL: And I will give them the ones we're going
         to use.
     2
     3
                  THE COURT: But that's not for a while anyway.
                  MS. BASSIL: Yeah. Well, it's coming up --
     4
     5
                  THE COURT: Let me just understand, so Mr. Hughes is
     6
         going to put in a bunch subject to the procedure we've talked
                 The list that I have now, the linguists are next?
     7
     8
                  MR. CHAKRAVARTY: Yes, your Honor.
     9
                  THE COURT: Is that going to bring us to the summary
01:52 10
         issue that the defendants have briefed?
    11
                  MR. CHAKRAVARTY: It will in part.
    12
                  MS. BASSIL: Yes.
    13
                  MR. CHAKRAVARTY: We've taken some of the issue off of
    14
         the table in order to expedite today's session, understanding
    15
         that the linguist will be recalled at some later date when your
         Honor has come --
    16
                  THE COURT: I haven't had anything from the government
    17
    18
         yet, that's why -- at some point we'll have to have an argument
    19
         about those --
01:53 20
                  MR. CHAKRAVARTY: For purposes of today, largely they
         will be authenticating what they did -- verbatim translations
    21
    22
         without reading from those. But there will be about -- for
    23
         each of them about eight exhibits which they -- the summary
    24
         translations were prepared but we're not going to be preparing
    25
         those into evidence, but they will be describing what those
```

```
1
         substances were.
                  THE COURT: Fine. Fine.
     2
     3
                  MR. CARNEY: Excuse me.
                  (Counsel confer off the record.)
     4
     5
                  MR. CARNEY: We're all set, your Honor. Thank you.
     6
                  THE COURT: Now, just to be clear, we have sort of a
     7
         scrivener's problem with respect to these batches, whether
         to -- because of our system here things get entered as admitted
     8
         or not. I guess my thought was -- and this is what I was
01:54 10
         saying the other day, was that they could be provisionally
    11
         admitted subject to reevaluation on 403 grounds, the thought
         being that once you pass 402 -- so if that's the case, then the
    12
    13
         clerk will enter them, but that's a reversible process in the
    14
         system and we can take them out as appropriate.
                  MS. BASSIL: I have a suggestion, your Honor.
    15
    16
                  THE COURT: We want to be sure that the accounting is
         done well.
    17
    18
                  MS. BASSIL: Right. Perhaps we could take a Friday
    19
         afternoon after the jury leaves -- not this Friday, but -- and
01:55 20
         next Friday's a holiday -- but take a Friday after they leave
         and just do that, go through them.
    21
    22
                  THE COURT: Well, no. He'll do it contemporaneously
    23
         because there's actually time-stamping.
    24
                  MS. BASSIL: I know I'm not supposed to give away his
    25
         Friday afternoons. I'm sorry.
```

```
1
                  THE COURT: There's time-stamping anyway, so I think
         we'll have to do it -- and I don't want to lose the accounting.
     2
     3
                  MR. CARNEY: It's necessary for both defense counsel
         to consult before next Friday.
     4
     5
                  THE COURT: Let's get the jury.
     6
                  MR. CARNEY: Can I bring up one issue?
     7
                  THE COURT: Oh, with him?
                  MR. CARNEY: There was a document marked yesterday,
     8
         and I take -- I just want to make clear that that document came
         into evidence.
01:55 10
    11
                  MS. BASSIL: It was an exhibit. And then we can
    12
         discuss --
    13
                  THE COURT: Well, yeah. Let me find it.
    14
                  MR. CARNEY: It might be 1076, your Honor.
    15
                  THE CLERK: 1075 is the one that -- I think you had
         mentioned yesterday.
    16
                  MS. BASSIL: 1075. It should be a post from the
    17
    18
         Tibyan Publications, I believe.
    19
                  THE COURT: Yes, 1075 is in evidence. You then had
01:56 20
         some others you wanted to get in and I think I excluded, and I
    21
         thought you were going to mark those for identification.
    22
                  MS. BASSIL: Oh, all right. I misunderstood. I
    23
         marked them as exhibits with the idea they might get excluded
    24
         later.
    25
                  THE COURT: No, I think I excluded them at the side,
```

```
1
         but I suggested they be marked for identification, so those...
                  MS. BASSIL: We could redo that. We'll fix that.
     2
                  THE COURT: I don't know, that was four, five
     3
         documents, something like that. We just need the sequence, 76,
     4
     5
         77, 78.
     6
                  MS. BASSIL: It was things they gave us; it's just the
     7
         form was different based on the disk.
     8
                  THE COURT: Anyway, those should be marked for
         identification. And then the next admitted number that's an
01:56 10
         add-on should follow those.
    11
                  MS. BASSIL: Fine.
    12
                  THE COURT: All right.
    13
                  MR. GROHARING: Your Honor, we prepared lists of the
    14
         exhibits that Special Agent Hughes will be offered.
    15
                  THE COURT: Paul, you go. You go.
                  MR. GROHARING: It might be helpful just to have those
    16
         marked as exhibits not for the jury but for the Court. What
    17
         we're going to do is have Special Agent Hughes look at the
    18
    19
         list, confirm that the items on the list came from a particular
01:57 20
         location, and authenticate the individual piece of evidence
    21
         that way.
    22
                  THE COURT: Well, it sounds like a summary. It might
    23
         be admitted as a summary.
    24
                  MR. GROHARING: We could admit it the same way.
    25
                  MS. BASSIL: It would be confusion, your Honor,
```

```
1
         frankly, because it's only some exhibits but not others, I
         think, to admit it.
     2
     3
                  THE COURT: Well, for the time being we could mark it
         for identification as the next available government number.
     4
     5
                  MR. CHAKRAVARTY: Yes.
     6
                  THE COURT: Wherever that left off.
     7
                  MR. GROHARING: Is it the Court's preference if I list
         on the record the individual exhibits or just provide this
     8
         exhibit, if that makes sense?
01:57 10
                  THE COURT: As they're offered, you mean? I don't
         think we have to go through a hundred exhibits by name.
    11
                  MS. BASSIL: Why don't we mark that -- I would suggest
    12
    13
         marking it for identification just because I think it could be
    14
         confusing to the jury because it's not going to be every
         exhibit.
    15
                  THE COURT: I don't know whether it will or won't.
    16
         But, yeah, we'll mark it for the next -- so what is the next
    17
         government's number?
    18
    19
                  MR. CHAKRAVARTY: 794.
01:58 20
                  MS. BASSIL: These are already exhibits, your Honor, I
         believe. These aren't new. These are already exhibits.
    21
    22
                  MR. CHAKRAVARTY: The communications are exhibits, but
         the chart from the exhibit list are extractions. 794 would be
    23
         the first one.
    24
    25
                  THE COURT: Okay. We're ready for the jury.
```

```
1
                  THE CLERK: All rise for the jury.
                   (The jury enters the courtroom at 10:42 a.m.)
     2
     3
                   THE CLERK: Please be seated.
                   THE COURT: Jurors, thanks for your patience. We've
     4
     5
         solved a couple of things.
     6
                   Just for the record, now, we'll mark for
     7
         identification government's 794, which is a list.
                   (Government Exhibit No. 794 marked for
     8
         identification.)
01:59 10
                  MR. GROHARING: Your Honor, we call Greg Hughes.
    11
                            GREGORY HUGHES, duly sworn
    12
                   THE CLERK: Please be seated. State your name, and
    13
         spell your last name for the record.
    14
                   THE WITNESS: Gregory Hughes, H-U-G-H-E-S.
                  THE COURT: Okay, Mr. Groharing, before you begin, do
    15
         you have an extra copy of that 794 which I could have?
    16
                  MR. GROHARING: I do, your Honor.
    17
    18
                   (Pause.)
    19
                  MR. GROHARING: There are actually five separate
02:01 20
         exhibits, your Honor, by the different categories of
    21
         information.
    22
                  THE COURT: Marked as one for identification?
    23
                  MR. CARNEY: Yes, your Honor.
    24
                  THE COURT: Five lists?
    25
                  MR. GROHARING: Yes, your Honor.
```

```
THE COURT: Five lists, one exhibit?
```

- 2 MR. CARNEY: I stapled mine together.
- THE COURT: Yeah, okay. Thank you.
- 4 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 5 BY MR. GROHARING:
- 6 Q. Good morning, Special Agent Hughes.
- 7 A. Good morning.
- 8 Q. What do you do for a living?
- 9 A. I'm a special agent with the Federal Bureau of
- 02:02 10 Investigation.
 - 11 Q. And how long have you been with the FBI?
 - 12 A. A little less than ten years.
 - 13 Q. What is your current position?
 - 14 A. Currently I'm assigned to the Joint Terrorism Task Force
 - 15 conducting international terrorism investigations.
 - 16 Q. And how long have you been with the Boston Field Office?
 - 17 A. In total, a little less than ten years. I did have
 - 18 another assignment for about two years of that time down
 - 19 in -- as a supervisory special agent assigned to our
- 02:02 20 | counterterrorism division at FBI headquarters in Washington,
 - 21 D.C.
 - 22 Q. Throughout the course of your career, how many
 - 23 investigations have you participated in?
 - 24 A. Several dozen.
 - 25 Q. And are you familiar with the investigation in this case?

- 1 A. Yes, I am.
- 2 Q. Have you had the opportunity to review certain materials
- 3 as a result of your involvement in this case?
- 4 A. Yes, I have.
- 5 Q. I want to ask you about, in particular, intercepted
- 6 emails. Are you familiar with the process that the FBI follows
- 7 to obtain authorization and to ultimately intercept emails and
- 8 review them?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 02:03 10 Q. From an agent at the JTTF's perspective, how does the FBI
 - 11 do that and how do you ultimately review the materials?
 - 12 A. An agent would submit an application to the court. And
 - once the court approves the warrant, certain technical
 - 14 connections would be made and the intercepted emails would be
 - 15 collected on a central storage system. We call it data
 - 16 warehouse system, or DWS. And we would access those for
 - 17 retrieval and review from our field office.
 - 18 O. I want to hand you what's been marked as -- the first two
 - 19 pages of Government Exhibit 794.
- 02:04 20 A. (Nonverbal response.)
 - 21 O. Are you familiar with the documents that are contained on
 - 22 | that list?
 - 23 A. Yes, I am.
 - 24 Q. What are they?
 - 25 A. These are emails that were intercepted that I reviewed on

- 1 the Data Warehouse System.
- Q. How did you confirm that the emails on that list were
- 3 actually contained on the Data Warehouse System?
- 4 A. I reviewed each of the exhibit numbers and items for each
- 5 exhibit, and compared it to the items that matched it on DWS.
- 6 So I know that each of the items there were, in fact, the same
- 7 items on the Data Warehouse System.
- 8 MR. GROHARING: Your Honor, at this point I would ask
- 9 that the items contained on the first two pages of the exhibit
- 02:05 10 be admitted.
 - 11 THE COURT: Subject to the procedure we discussed
 - 12 during our recent conference.
 - MS. BASSIL: Fine.
 - MR. GROHARING: May I approach, your Honor?
 - 15 THE COURT: You may.
 - MR. GROHARING: I have handed the witness the third
 - 17 page of Exhibit 794.
 - 18 BY MR. GROHARING:
 - 19 Q. Do you recognize that document?
- 02:05 20 A. Yes, I do.
 - 21 | Q. What is that document?
 - 22 A. These are listed items that I also viewed on the Data
 - 23 | Warehouse System. These are -- when the court authorizes the
 - 24 FBI to intercept emails at the initial process, it goes in and
 - 25 | basically conducts a search of items that were already on the

```
1
         computer. And these are emails that were present at the
         initiation of the interception.
     2
             And how did you confirm that those exhibits were on the
     4
         DWS system?
     5
              Through the same process. I opened each of these exhibit
         items and compared it to the items on the DWS system.
     7
                  MR. GROHARING: Your Honor, I would ask that these
     8
         items be admitted as well.
                  THE COURT: Subject to the same --
02:07 10
                  MS. BASSIL: The same here.
    11
                  THE COURT: May I just be clear? These are matters
    12
         that were present on the target computer or something? He said
    13
         "present." I wasn't sure what "present" meant. Maybe you
    14
         could clarify.
    15
         BY MR. GROHARING:
              Special Agent Hughes, these particular emails that are on
    16
         that list, how did those come to be on the DWS system?
    17
    18
              Sir, again, at the time that interception begins, it
         Α.
    19
         takes -- the court authorizes a search, essentially, of
02:07 20
         existing items, items that are already on the -- associated
    21
         with that account that are then collected as well and put onto
    22
         the system. So all those items throughout that process are
    23
         still stored on the DWS system.
    24
         Q.
              And those materials were provided by Yahoo, correct?
```

Α.

Yes.

- 1 Q. Special Agent Hughes, I want to ask you about a 2009
- 2 search warrant that was issued on Yahoo. Are you familiar with
- 3 that search warrant?
- 4 A. Yes, I am.
- 5 MR. GROHARING: Your Honor, could I please display the
- 6 Exhibit 739A for the witness?
- 7 THE COURT: All right.
- 8 BY MR. GROHARING:
- 9 Q. Special Agent Hughes, are you familiar with this document?
- 02:08 10 A. Yes.
 - 11 Q. What is it?
 - 12 A. This is a certification that the records provided by Yahoo
 - 13 are correct and the actual records requested. So it's
 - 14 basically a confirmation provided by Yahoo in the process.
 - 15 Q. And this certification came from Yahoo in response to the
 - 16 2009 search warrant you previously discussed?
 - 17 A. Yes.
 - 18 MR. GROHARING: Would you please display 739?
 - 19 Q. Special Agent Hughes, are you familiar with the exhibit
- 02:09 20 | that's currently on the screen in front of you?
 - 21 A. Yes, I am.
 - 22 0. What is that?
 - 23 A. This is another document that's provided by Yahoo in
 - 24 conjunction with the search warrant. You could think of it as
 - 25 a cover sheet to the records that were provided. It provides

```
subscriber information, IP addresses, information associated with that log-in name.
```

- Q. And based on that document, are you able to tell who the owner of that account was?
- 5 A. Yes. It was Tarek Mehanna.
- 6 MR. GROHARING: Your Honor, I would ask that Exhibit 739 and 739A be admitted.
- 8 MS. BASSIL: No objection, your Honor.
- THE COURT: All right. 739 and 739A.
- 02:10 10 (Government Exhibit Nos. 739A and 739 received into evidence.)
 - MR. GROHARING: May I approach, your Honor?
 - THE COURT: You may.
 - MR. GROHARING: I've provided the witness the next
 - 15 page of Exhibit 794.
 - 16 THE COURT: Okay.
 - 17 BY MR. GROHARING:
 - 18 Q. Special Agent Hughes, do you recognize that document?
 - 19 A. Yes, I do.
- 02:10 20 Q. What is it?

- 21 A. It's the exhibit list of the items that were collected
- 22 pursuant to the 2009 search warrant on Yahoo.
- 23 Q. Okay. And how did you determine that those items were, in
- 24 fact, taken from the return of that warrant?
- 25 A. So these items weren't stored. They came from a different

2

3

5

6

7

02:11 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

02:12 20

```
process so they weren't stored on the DWS system, but I
reviewed the items that were obtained through the search
warrant and compared it to the items presented on the exhibits
and made sure they were the same.
         MR. GROHARING: May I approach, your Honor?
         THE COURT: You may.
BY MR. GROHARING:
     Do you recognize that compact disk?
Q.
     I do.
Α.
Ο.
    What is it?
     This is the -- items I used -- this is the item -- this
contains the items that I used to compare the items on the
exhibit list. So this is a collection of the items that were
taken in the 2009 Yahoo search provided by Yahoo. And it was
the point of comparison I used to make sure the exhibits
matched those items.
    And were you able to determine that the items that are on
the page of Exhibit 794 in front of you, in fact, came from
that disk?
Α.
     Yes.
         MR. GROHARING: Your Honor, I would also ask now that
those exhibits be admitted into evidence.
         THE CLERK: What's the number?
         THE COURT: Let me just see you at the side for a
minute. I'm not sure I'm following. Maybe my copy is...
```

```
1
                   (Discussion at sidebar and out of the hearing of the
     2
         jury:)
     3
                  THE COURT: The fourth page. You just asked him
     4
         whether the fourth page was the product of a search.
                                                                The
     5
         fourth page I have is intercepted telephone calls.
     6
                  MR. GROHARING: It should be --
     7
                  MS. BASSIL: It should be --
     8
                  THE COURT: I don't have that one. So here's -- I
         have 1 and 2. We just did those. That goes up to 297. And my
02:13 10
         next page, it was emails. This is what he said was stored, I
    11
         guess, right, at the time they began the interception?
                  MR. GROHARING: You're missing the stored page, your
    12
                 This is from Yahoo.
    13
         Honor.
    14
                  THE COURT: Okay.
    15
                  MR. AUERHAHN: Maybe they're just out of order.
                  THE COURT: Yeah, I think there's just some other
    16
    17
         order.
    18
                  MS. BASSIL: That's the fourth page.
    19
                  MR. GROHARING: FISA was the third page.
02:13 20
                  THE COURT: FISA was third and this is the fourth?
    21
         Okay. I don't have the FISA page.
    22
                  MR. CHAKRAVARTY: Why don't you give him that?
    23
                  THE COURT: Well, I don't need it right now. I will
    24
         need it, but now I'm with you.
    25
                  So this is actually page 4, right? So...
```

```
1
                  MR. GROHARING: The Yahoo server is page 4.
     2
                   (In open court:)
         BY MR. GROHARING:
     3
              So just to be clear, Special Agent Hughes, when you're
     4
     5
         referring to items that were seized pursuant to a search
         warrant, could you please list the exhibit on the top of that
         page that you're referring to, the first exhibit?
     7
         Α.
             Exhibit 390.
         Q. And I believe that is page 4 of the exhibit, your Honor.
02:15 10
                  THE COURT: Yes.
                  MR. GROHARING: At this point, your Honor, I would ask
    11
    12
         that the documents be admitted.
    13
                  THE COURT: Yes, subject to the same rule.
    14
                   (Government Exhibit No. 390 received into evidence.)
         BY MR. GROHARING:
    15
              Special Agent Hughes, are you also familiar with the
    16
         process the FBI uses to review telephone calls that are
    17
    18
         intercepted pursuant to FISA authorization?
    19
         Α.
            Yes, I am.
02:15 20
              And how does a local agent review those types of
    21
         intercepted calls?
    22
              The process is different than we would use for an
    23
         intercepted email, but essentially it starts off the same.
    24
         Agents apply for -- apply through the court; the court
    25
         authorizes the warrant. Once the warrant is approved,
```

```
technical connections are made and the intercepted telephone
calls are directed to a device at the field office as opposed
to a central repository with emails. And agents then retrieve
those phone calls for review at the field office.
```

MR. GROHARING: May I approach, your Honor?

THE COURT: You may.

MR. GROHARING: I've handed the witness what's been marked as the fifth page in that Exhibit 794.

- Q. Special Agent Hughes, do you recognize that exhibit?
- 02:16 10 A. Yes, I do.

5

6

7

- Q. Could you please read from the top of that page and describe it for the Court, please?
- 13 A. So this is a collection of -- this lists the exhibits of
- 14 intercepted telephone calls. It also lists the transcripts of
- 15 those telephone calls.
- 16 Q. And did you confirm that those telephone calls listed on
- 17 that document were, in fact, taken from the Red Wolf System you
- 18 previously described?
- 19 A. I did. I took it -- I went to that system, the telephone
- 02:17 20 collection platform, and created a CD of those telephone calls
 - 21 which were then used to generate this exhibit list.
 - Q. And I've also handed you a CD. Is that the CD you just
 - 23 referenced?
 - 24 A. Yes. This is the CD I created.
 - 25 | Q. And did you then take those documents that are included as

- exhibits and compare those calls to the calls on the Red Wolf
 System to ensure they were the same?
- A. Yes. So I compared -- I created this disk, which I

 created from the Red Wolf System, which is the name we give to

 the telephone collection platform. I compared the calls that

 were presented as exhibits to the calls on the CD to ensure
- 8 MR. GROHARING: Your Honor, I would ask that the list 9 of exhibits contained on page 5 of Exhibit 794 also be 02:18 10 admitted.
 - 11 THE COURT: On the same condition.
 - 12 BY MR. GROHARING:
 - Q. Special Agent Hughes, did you also review stored chat sessions as part of your assistance in this investigation?
 - 15 A. Yes, I did.
 - MR. GROHARING: May I approach, your Honor?
 - 17 THE COURT: You may.

that they were the same.

- MR. GROHARING: I've handed the witness a compact
- 19 disk.

- 02:19 20 BY MR. GROHARING:
 - 21 Q. Special Agent Hughes, do you recognize that disk?
 - 22 A. I do.
 - 23 O. What is that disk?
 - 24 A. This disk contains items that were downloaded from a
 - 25 computer during an authorized search.

```
MR. GROHARING: I'm sorry, your Honor. May I approach
     1
     2
         again? I have one more document to show him.
     3
                  THE COURT: Go ahead.
         BY MR. GROHARING:
     5
              Special Agent Hughes, on that disk, is it fair to say
         that's labeled as Exhibit 1B72?
              It's labeled as "1B1" but it's also referred to as "1B72."
     7
         Α.
              Okay. And why would it be referred to as both?
         Q.
         A. Just nomenclature.
02:20 10
         Q.
             Two separate designations within the FBI file?
    11
             Right.
         Α.
    12
         Q. You're familiar with the items are on that disk, though,
    13
         correct?
    14
         Α.
            Yes.
    15
                  MR. GROHARING: I've provided the witness I believe
         what's pages 6 through 8 of Government Exhibit 794.
    16
    17
            Are there three pages to that exhibit, Special Agent
    18
         Hughes?
    19
         A. There's seven. There might be --
02:20 20
         Q.
              I'm not even close. So that's pages 6 through 13 of
    21
         Exhibit 794. Is that correct?
    22
                  THE COURT: Twelve, actually. Six through 12,
    23
         inclusive.
    24
                  Just for clarity, could you give the first exhibit
```

number and the last exhibit number? That might --

- 1 BY MR. GROHARING:
- 2 Q. If you could, Special Agent Hughes, just read the first
- 3 exhibit number on that list.
- $4 \mid A$. Yes. The first exhibit number is 495.
- 5 Q. And what is the last exhibit number?
- 6 A. The last exhibit number is 737.
- 7 Q. Are you familiar with those exhibits?
- 8 A. Yes, I am.
- 9 Q. And have you had the opportunity to review those exhibits
- 02:21 10 and compare them to what's found on the disk that you
 - 11 previously talked about?
 - 12 A. Yes. So on this disk contains some of the chats that were
 - downloaded from the computer, and this exhibit list is some of
 - 14 those chats that are contained on this disk. And what I did
 - was compared the chats on the disk to the chats listed in the
 - 16 exhibit list to ensure they are the same.
 - 17 MR. GROHARING: Your Honor, at this point I'd offer
 - 18 the exhibits.
 - 19 THE COURT: All right. On the same conditions.
- 02:22 20 MR. GROHARING: Your Honor, I would like to display
 - 21 Exhibit 738A for the witness only.
 - 22 BY MR. GROHARING:
 - 23 Q. Special Agent Hughes, are you familiar with this exhibit?
 - 24 A. Yes, I am.
 - 25 Q. What is it?

```
It's a certification that accompanies the return of
     1
         records request. In this case it accompanies the return of
     2
         records requested from Pakistan International Airlines
     3
         certifying that the records provided are accurate.
     5
                  MR. GROHARING: Okay. Could I please have Exhibit
     6
         738?
     7
             Do you recognize Exhibit 738?
     8
              Yes. So these are the actual records provided by
         Pakistani Airlines.
02:23 10
                  MR. GROHARING: Your Honor, I would ask that Exhibit
         738A and 738 be admitted into evidence.
    11
    12
                  MS. BASSIL: Same, your Honor.
    13
                  THE COURT: Same?
    14
                  MS. BASSIL: With the same provisions we had discussed
         earlier, your Honor.
    15
                   (Government Exhibit Nos. 738A and 738 received into
    16
    17
         evidence.)
    18
                  THE COURT: All right. We've gone past 11. I think
    19
         we'll take the morning recess at this point.
02:23 20
                  THE CLERK: All rise for the Court and jury. The
    21
         Court will take the mornings recess.
    22
                   (The Court and jury exit the courtroom and there is a
         recess in the proceedings at 11:07 a.m.)
    23
          (Court in at 11:34 a.m.)
    24
    25
                  MR. CARNEY: Your Honor, I asked if we could see you
```

02:53 20

02:52 10

prior to the jury coming out in the interests of efficiency.

I can alert your Honor that I believe the government is virtually done with this witness, and our cross-examination will be very brief. And then we would be asking to raise an important legal issue before your Honor.

Just to set it up, the government intends to call translators who have translated documents. We're not contending that the verbatim translation of a full document is inaccurate. Therefore, if the document is admissible, the translation is admissible.

Where we have a problem is the government has certain documents that it has not fully translated, and they will attempt to have a translator explain what the document is about. And we object to that on a number of grounds. When they were going to offer it in writing, we submitted to your Honor a memorandum of law indicating what the objections were. And just to revisit them very briefly, the Rule of Verbal Completeness; the Best Evidence Rule; the summary witness limitations; the right of the defendant to confront the evidence against him, meaning if it's an Arab document that they're offering, he has a right to confront by seeing what the translation of it is. The jury should be able to look at it, also.

Finally, and certainly not least, is the fact that someone may be able to translate a document from one language

02:55 20

02:54 10

to another, but that doesn't give that witness an expertise to explain that. For example, if a witness could translate the play Henry V from English to French, then that would be the skill. But would that witness be allowed to say that her summary of what this play is about is that an English king goes to France. France valiantly defends their country. They spectacularly perform with integrity and bravery. And through mere luck, the king gets to win, and then when he returns to England, he gets married, as opposed to people reading the actual words of the Shakespeare play.

Any other context that we could put it in this court would illustrate that no witness would be allowed to take a written document in English and tell the jury what they think the important things are. Let me give you a specific example to compare the verbatim translation with the summary. In one of the summaries, the translator contends that a portion of the document says, "It is spreading the call to Islam." The Arabic text is actually so much more elaborate and says, "This is spreading the call of God, the Glorious, and the message of his religion, his book, and following in the path of his chosen prophet in the terms of mercy, truthfulness, generosity, fellowship, patience, and endurance, steadfastness and the pursuit of Islamic works."

There is a huge difference between those two. And just as I would object if written summaries would come in, I

submit that a translator cannot be permitted to give his or her impression of what a document is about. Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Chakravarty.

02:57 20

02:56 10

MR. CHAKRAVARTY: Thank you, your Honor. Your Honor, the first item I'd want to address is to clarify that summary translation documents were created by these linguists. We've provided those to defense. We have marked them for identification as exhibits.

We are not seeking to introduce those summary translation exhibits into evidence largely persuaded by counsel that there is some risk, although the government's case research evidences that there is some support, also. But we think that's unnecessary to kind of cross that bridge.

What we're talking about here, then, is not a summary exhibit, and that's not the proper lens through which to look at this. Rather, this is an item of evidence, which is in evidence, which the jury doesn't know what it is. And the witness is simply going to tell the jury what that witness' assessment is of what the thing is.

If it was a lay witness, the government would offer that that would be sufficient. If you go into somebody's house, you see a book, you seize the book. You say, I found a book, and this is what the book was. But in this case, we actually have an expertise of these witnesses that is outside the kin of the jury so that they can further go on to say, I've

02:58 20

02:57 10

looked at that book. I've read that book, and I know what that book is, not the interpretation, not the liturgical -- in a teleological sense of what the book purports to say and where it's from but, rather, this is what the book is. And, you know, that can be a paragraph long description. It can be a couple of sentences long description, which is the government's intention certainly for purposes of today.

The exhibits, there are about -- I think I intimated earlier, about eight to ten exhibits which the government would have -- which were seized -- the first set were seized in the defendant's room in 2006. They've been admitted into evidence as Arabic language documents, which the jury doesn't -- can't understand. The witness is simply going to say, I read this document. This is what that document is. That is something that's not only helpful. It's essential for the jury to get the benefits of that expertise.

And addressing Mr. Carney's concerns, they can dispute that. They can present contrary evidence. They can -- they have the right to confront. They have the ability and the resources to create a verbatim translation if they so choose if they feel like there has been some misapprehension conveyed to the jury.

So through that lens of reference, whether it be 703 or just, I guess, 402, that this is relevant information that the jury needs in order to assess the evidence. For that

02:59 10

03:00 20

reason, this is not a summary exhibit, which was essentially the case law that counsel put forward.

THE COURT: I agree with the defense objection. I don't see a difference between written summaries and oral summaries. So I think a translation of all or part -- I mean, I don't think it's necessary that the entire document be translated if the government intends only to point to some part of it. I think it would be sufficient for an excerpt to be faithfully translated. It would have to be -- there would be an opportunity to contextualize it, but I think that would shift back to the defendant to do that. So one possibility is literal translation.

I would agree that a 702 expert could comment on the substance, in summary form, of an extended document, but the relevant expertise would be, as Mr. Carney points out, in the subject matter, not in the translation. So that if you had a -- to use his example, if you had a French translator who was also a Shakespearean scholar, then you could do both: vouch for the translation and vouch for the interpretation.

But that's because of the latitude that we give to

Rule 702 witnesses, that their opinions must be soundly based.

But the subject -- the reasons for the opinion don't

necessarily have to be independently admissible, and so we give
wider latitude.

I don't know if these linguists could qualify in that

03:01 20

03:01 10

way. If they could, then I would be receptive to that kind of testimony. But I think, as linguists only, as translators only, they don't have the expertise to summarize.

I think the government has conceded they're not summary in the 1006 sense, and I think that's true because, unlike the summary, which is the product of simply compilation, commonly mathematical, where you can be satisfied as to the validity of the summary because of the methodology applied, such as addition. With respect to the summary of a narrative, there's an intellectual intercession by the summarizer, and that can be permitted in 702 context, but I don't think, outside of 702, it would be proper.

MR. CHAKRAVARTY: That's clear, your Honor. For purposes of today, then, those witnesses obviously will not be talking about each of those exhibits until a verbatim translation can be prepared for portions of those exhibits.

And as we will do then -- thank you for that guidance because with regards to videos and other pieces of evidence for which we now have summaries, we will do portions of verbatim translations that may affect -- for purposes of notice, it may affect the specific clips that have been marked for identification with regards to portions of those videos.

THE COURT: All right. It may necessitate re-calling the witnesses.

MR. CHAKRAVARTY: Correct, your Honor.

2

3

5

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

03:04 20

03:02 10

```
MS. BASSIL: Your Honor, just so it's clear, there's a
civilian witness tomorrow -- I think I counted five videos that
are in Arabic. And so -- that had translation after them and
they are summary translations. So what does that mean?
        MR. AUERHAHN: Your Honor, I advised Miss Bassil that
we're not going to use the translations with the witness. For
her convenience, I put it on the list.
        MS. BASSIL: That was before we had the discussion.
                                                             Ι
know that.
        MR. AUERHAHN: We're not going to seek to introduce
the translations of the videos. Some segments of the video
clips we'll play. One is the Umar Hadeed, which has subtitles.
The others, the image is all you need. You don't need, for the
purpose of the witness tomorrow, the translation.
        MS. BASSIL: Okay. I may raise that tomorrow.
        THE COURT: Okay. Ready for the jury?
        MR. CARNEY: Yes, your Honor.
(The jury entered the room at 11:47 a.m.)
        MR. GROHARING: Your Honor, I'd ask for permission to
display Exhibit 740A for the witness.
         THE COURT: Okay.
Q.
     Special Agent Hughes, do you recognize that document?
Α.
    Yes, I do.
Q.
    What is it?
Α.
     This is a Certificate of Authenticity pursuant to a
```

```
1
         request of records from Comcast.
                  MR. GROHARING: Permission to display Exhibit 740.
     2
              Do you recognize that exhibit, Special Agent Hughes?
     3
              Yes. That's the actual records that were provided by
     5
         Comcast.
     6
                  MR. GROHARING: Your Honor, I would ask to admit those
     7
         records, Exhibit 740A and Exhibit 740.
     8
                  MS. BASSIL: No objection, your Honor.
     9
                  THE COURT: Okay, 740 and 740A are admitted.
          (Exhibit No. 740A received into evidence.)
03:05 10
    11
          (Exhibit No. 740 received into evidence.)
    12
                  MR. GROHARING: Your Honor, permission to display
    13
         Exhibit 742A for the witness.
              Special Agent Hughes, do you recognize that exhibit?
    14
         Q.
             Yes, I do.
    15
         Α.
         Q. What is it?
    16
         A. It's a Certificate of Authenticity for records provided by
    17
         AT&T.
    18
    19
                  MR. GROHARING: Permission to display Exhibit 742.
03:05 20
                  THE COURT: Okay.
              Special Agent Hughes, do you recognize that exhibit?
    21
         Q.
    22
         Α.
              Yes, I do. These are the actual records provided by AT&T.
    23
                  MR. GROHARING: Thank you. Your Honor, permission to
         admit Exhibits 742A and 742.
    24
    25
                  MS. BASSIL: Your Honor, 742 is subject to what we had
```

```
1
         spoken about before.
     2
                  THE COURT: Is that a multipage?
     3
                  MS. BASSIL: It's multipage, yes.
                  THE COURT: Okay. We'll admit it under those
     4
     5
         conditions.
          (Exhibit No. 742A received into evidence.)
     7
         (Exhibit No. 742 received into evidence.)
     8
         Q. Just to be clear, when I ask you, Special Agent Hughes --
         if I ask you about an exhibit, I'm asking you about all pages
         contained within that exhibit.
03:06 10
    11
         A. Yes, I understand.
    12
                  MR. GROHARING: Your Honor, permission to display
    13
         Exhibit 743A.
    14
                  THE COURT: Okay.
              Special Agent Hughes, are you familiar with that exhibit?
    15
         Q.
              Yes. This is a Certificate of Authenticity certifying
    16
         that the records provided by Verizon are authentic.
    17
    18
                  MR. GROHARING: Permission to display Exhibit 743,
    19
         your Honor.
03:07 20
                  THE COURT: Okay.
              Special Agent Hughes, are you familiar with that exhibit?
    21
         Q.
    22
         Α.
              Yes. These are the actual records provided by Verizon.
    23
                  MR. GROHARING: Your Honor, the government offers
         Exhibit 743 and 743A.
    24
    25
                  MS. BASSIL: Your Honor, again, subject to the same
```

```
1
         provisions.
     2
                  THE COURT: Okay.
     3
          (Exhibit No. 743A received into evidence.)
          (Exhibit No. 743 received into evidence.)
     5
                  MR. GROHARING: Your Honor, permission to display
         745A.
     7
              Special Agent Hughes, are you familiar with that exhibit?
              Yes.
                    This is a Certificate of Authenticity that
         Α.
         accompanied records provided by Eastern Bank.
03:07 10
                  MR. GROHARING: Permission to display Exhibit 745.
                  THE COURT: Yup.
    11
              Special Agent Hughes are you familiar with that exhibit?
    12
    13
             Yes. These are records that were provided by Eastern
         Α.
    14
         Bank.
                  MR. GROHARING: Your Honor, the government would offer
    15
         Exhibits 745 and 745A.
    16
    17
                  MS. BASSIL: Your Honor, 745, subject to the same
    18
         provision. 745A, no objection.
    19
                  THE COURT: Well, is there any need for that on this?
         I don't know what's in them. This is a little different from
03:08 20
    21
         some of the communication ones.
    22
                  MS. BASSIL: Yes, there is.
    23
                  THE COURT: There is, okay.
          (Exhibit No. 745A received into evidence.)
    24
    25
          (Exhibit No. 745 received into evidence.)
```

```
1
                  MR. GROHARING: Your Honor, permission to display
     2
         Exhibit 247.
     3
                  THE COURT: 247?
                  MR. GROHARING: Yes, your Honor.
     4
     5
                  THE COURT: Okay.
     6
              Special Agent Hughes, earlier I asked you about a number
     7
         of exhibits -- a number of emails that were obtained pursuant
         to a FISA search. Are you familiar with the exhibit that's
         contained on the screen?
03:08 10
             Yes. As in other searches, this is the -- again, you can
    11
         think of it as the cover sheet to the records. It identifies
         the subscriber information and other information that was
    12
    13
         entered associated with the screen name or login name. So it
    14
         accompanied the return of the search warrant.
    15
                  MR. GROHARING: Your Honor, the government offers
         Exhibit 247.
    16
                  MS. BASSIL: No objection.
    17
    18
                  THE COURT: Okay. That's admitted.
    19
          (Exhibit No. 247 received into evidence.)
03:09 20
              Special Agent Hughes, earlier you talked about a CD that
         you still have at the witness stand with you. You described it
    21
    22
         as 1B1 markings on it. Could you please explain for the jury
    23
         where that CD came from?
    24
              So this CD is a -- evidence that was collected pursuant to
    25
         a search warrant in 2006. And there was a bigger piece of
```

- 1 evidence collected. This CD represents a section of that
- 2 evidence. So it's -- we would call it a derivative evidence
- 3 from a larger piece, almost like a chapter of a book.
- 4 Q. What is that larger piece?
- 5 A. So the larger piece was a review of the hard drive of a
- 6 computer, and these are -- this CD, among other things,
- 7 contains chats that were stored on the hard drive.
- 8 Q. Are you familiar with the particular hard drive that
- 9 you're referring to?
- 03:10 10 A. Yes.
 - 11 Q. Whose hard drive was that?
 - 12 A. That was Tarek Mehanna's.
 - 13 MR. GROHARING: One second, please, your Honor.
 - No further questions, your Honor.
 - 15 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. BASSIL:
 - 16 Q. Good afternoon.
 - 17 A. Good afternoon.
 - 18 Q. Agent Hughes, I wanted to ask you a question about a few
 - 19 things. First, you talked about emails that you received from
- 03:11 20 a search warrant served on Yahoo in 2009?
 - 21 A. Yes.
 - 22 MS. BASSIL: If we could have Exhibit 439 come back up
 - 23 and if we could scroll down.
 - 24 Q. Agent, from this, this was -- this told you what Yahoo
 - 25 sent to you, is that right?

- 1 A. Yes. In the course of the request, Yahoo provides all of
- 2 the information associated with that screen-in name or login
- 3 name.
- 4 Q. And so -- I just want to be clear. You took -- he
- 5 reviewed certain emails, correct?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And those emails were on your system, this system that you
- 8 talked about?
- 9 A. Yes. Well, from -- is this the 2009 search?
- 03:12 10 Q. Yes.
 - 11 A. So no. Those emails weren't listed on our DWS storage
 - 12 system. Those -- emails from this search warrant were stored
 - in evidence, and then it's from the items in evidence that I
 - 14 looked at the emails.
 - 15 Q. Did you look at all the emails?
 - 16 A. No.
 - 17 Q. You only looked at certain emails?
 - 18 A. Yes.
 - 19 Q. How did you determine which emails you looked at?
- 03:12 20 A. I compared the emails that were going to be presented on
 - 21 exhibits to emails on the disk. So the emails I looked at were
 - 22 only the ones that were going to be presented in court.
 - 23 Q. And do you know who selected those emails?
 - 24 A. The case agents.
 - 25 | Q. The case agents. That would be Heidi Williams and Tom

- 1 Daly?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Now, what I -- so on the -- you had a number of
- 4 intercepted -- you had a number of emails and a number of
- 5 people, is that correct, that you matched to make sure that
- 6 these emails were the ones that they had given you?
- 7 A. Yes. I compared -- I made sure that they were the same
- 8 ones.
- 9 Q. If you would look at Exhibit 739, it says, "Messenger
- 03:13 10 friend list." Do you see that?
 - 11 A. I do.
 - 12 Q. There are far more people on that -- far more email
 - addresses on that then you compared for exhibits, correct?
 - 14 A. I didn't -- yes. There's more email addresses on that
 - 15 than I looked at.
 - 16 Q. Do you know how many emails were received from Yahoo
 - 17 pursuant to this 2009 search warrant?
 - 18 A. I don't.
 - 19 Q. Do you know how many people -- different people -- like,
- 03:14 20 one person could send more than one email. Do you know how
 - 21 many separate people sent emails pursuant to that 2009 search?
 - 22 A. I do not.
 - 23 Q. Now, the same thing I wanted to ask you about were these
 - 24 intercepted telephone calls. And I believe you examined, I
 - 25 | would say, about 25 intercepted telephone calls. If you want

- 1 to look at your chart, you could.
- 2 A. I don't have the list in front of me. It was -- I can't
- $3\mid$ remember the exact number, but it was --
- 4 Q. I'm sorry. About 12, actually?
- 5 A. About a dozen, yes.
- 6 Q. About 12 or so?
- 7 A. That sounds right.
- 8 Q. Were you aware of how many telephone calls in total were
- 9 intercepted?
- 03:15 10 A. I was not aware.
 - 11 Q. So you were given -- again, who gave you the telephone
 - 12 calls that you matched against the evidence that you had?
 - 13 A. The case agents.
 - 14 Q. Did you have any discussion with the case agents about how
 - 15 they selected certain telephone calls?
 - 16 A. No, I didn't.
 - 17 | Q. Now, turning to the instant messages, you had matched --
 - 18 let me ask you about this. All right. So instant messages or
 - 19 chat, this is when two people are on a computer in different
- 03:15 20 | places, right? Are you familiar with this?
 - 21 A. With the process of instant messaging?
 - 22 Q. Yes.
 - 23 A. Yes.
 - 24 Q. So two people are in two different locations on computers,
 - 25 correct? And one person types some message, and the other

- 1 person responds, correct?
- 2 A. Okay. Yes.
- 3 Q. So it's kind of like -- it's almost like a telephone call
- 4 except you're using a computer; would that be fair to say?
- 5 A. I don't know if I'm the right one to ask. You could
- 6 expand it out for an email. So, no, I wouldn't say that. I
- 7 would say it's different.
- 8 Q. But it is someone who is using -- two people who are using
- 9 their computer to sort of have a conversation at the same time?
- 03:16 10 A. Okay. Yeah. It's two people exchanging information, yes.
 - 11 Q. So like an email, for example, I might send you an email
 - 12 in the morning, and you might get back to your office and
 - 13 respond to me in the afternoon?
 - 14 A. Okay.
 - 15 Q. That's different than these chats?
 - 16 A. As far as time?
 - 17 Q. Yes.
 - 18 A. From your example, yes.
 - 19 Q. Now, do you know how many chats -- and the chats were
- 03:17 20 received pursuant to what? That was from the computer, the
 - 21 2006 computer?
 - 22 A. Yes.
 - 23 O. From the hard drive?
 - 24 A. That's correct.
 - 25 \ Q. And are you familiar with -- are you familiar with whether

- 1 or not chats -- a company that provides your computer service,
- 2 can they access your chats, do you know?
- 3 A. I do not know.
- 4 Q. Okay. But these chats were stored on the hard drive?
- 5 A. Correct.
- 6 Q. And do you know how many chats there were in total?
- 7 | A. I don't.
- 8 Q. Do you know there were over 3,000 pages of chats once they
- 9 were transcribed?
- 03:17 10 A. I didn't view every chat, so I don't know an exact number.
 - 11 Q. You only knew the chats that you were given a list of, is
 - 12 that correct?
 - 13 A. Yes.
 - 14 Q. Do you know who chose what chats?
 - 15 A. Case agents.
 - 16 Q. Did you have any discussion with the case agents about
 - 17 what chats they chose?
 - 18 A. No, I didn't.
 - 19 Q. And, again, if I can ask you, on the emails that you
- 03:18 20 received from Yahoo --
 - 21 MS. BASSIL: If you could pull up 247 just for the
 - 22 witness.
 - 23 Q. This is the Yahoo account management tool, correct?
 - 24 A. Yes.
 - 25 Q. And do you know for what length of time emails were

- 1 obtained? Was it from what date to what date?
- 2 A. I don't.
- 3 | Q. Do you know how many emails were received from Yahoo?
- 4 A. I don't.
- 5 Q. And, again, all you did -- what you did was -- strike
- 6 that.
- The agents gave you the emails, and you made sure they
- 8 were the same emails they gave you?
- 9 A. No. I made sure that the ones that were going to be
- 03:18 10 submitted as evidence were -- I verified the source of the
 - 11 information so that it came from either -- whatever search
 - 12 generated the evidence.
 - 13 Q. So when Yahoo sent the emails, I assume they sent it on a
 - 14 CD?
 - 15 A. It depends which one.
 - 16 Q. Okay.
 - 17 A. Sometimes it was electronic. Sometimes it was on a CD.
 - 18 Q. Were some of the emails on a CD, for example?
 - 19 A. I don't know how it was received. The ones I viewed were
- 03:19 20 on a CD. And some of them were viewed on the central system.
 - 21 Q. How did Yahoo send it, do you know?
 - 22 | A. I don't.
 - 23 Q. And how -- who made the CD that you looked at?
 - 24 A. On one of them -- depending on which one. It was
 - 25 different agents in the process and through -- you know,

- 1 submitted into evidence and then pulled back out for my review.
- 2 Q. And you don't know -- do you know where the agents got
- 3 that information?
- 4 A. Yeah. They would list it. When the evidence is received,
- 5 | we have procedures where it's -- the source of the evidence is
- 6 documented, and then it's put into, you know, an evidence
- 7 | control area that has limited access. And then as it's
- 8 necessary to review that evidence, we would go check it out,
- 9 document that it was checked out, for what purpose, review it
- 03:20 10 as necessary, and then resubmit it back into the evidence
 - 11 control.
 - 12 Q. Okay. But what you reviewed, for example, was not all of
 - 13 the emails sent or received by Tarek Mehanna?
 - 14 A. Correct. I didn't review all of them.
 - 15 Q. And you did not review all of the instant messages that
 - were exchanged back and forth between Tarek Mehanna and another
 - 17 person?
 - 18 A. No, I did not.
 - 19 Q. And you did not review all of the telephone calls that
- 03:20 20 went back and forth between Tarek Mehanna?
 - 21 A. No, I did not.
 - 22 MS. BASSIL: Thank you. I have no other questions.
 - 23 THE COURT: In my role as chief accountant, I just
 - 24 want to be sure that -- there was reference to 739. My notes
 - 25 don't indicate that that was offered, even under the conditions

2

4

5

7

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

03:22 20

03:21 10

```
that we've been admitting these. I don't know whether that was
intentional or not. Maybe my notes are wrong. That's the
Yahoo certification.
        MS. BASSIL: Right. I just want to see it, and then I
will be able to say. If it wasn't, I have no objection to it
being an exhibit.
        THE COURT: Okay. It may have been my error.
        MS. BASSIL: It was?
        THE COURT: That's what the clerk says.
        Mr. Groharing.
REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. GROHARING:
     Special Agent Hughes, I just want to clarify a couple
points about the various searches that you were involved
reviewing in this case. You testified about some emails that
were intercepted pursuant to FISA authorization. Now, when you
reviewed those emails, how did you do that?
     Those emails would be stored on our central system.
would review them through the computer, through accessing the
Data Warehouse System.
     Is it fair to say that when an email is intercepted
pursuant to FISA, it comes to the FBI electronically and then
into that system?
Α.
    Yes.
   Now, you also testified about some emails that were
```

provided by Yahoo pursuant to a search warrant?

A. Yes.

- Q. When emails are provided pursuant to a search warrant, how
- 3 are those provided to the FBI?
- 4 A. It depends. It could be on a CD, or it could be --
- 5 records could be provided electronically. So it really depends
- 6 on the amount and everything.
- 7 Q. In this case, regarding the 2009 Yahoo search that you
- 8 referenced, do you know how those emails were provided to the
- 9 FBI?
- 03:23 10 A. Yes. These are provided on a compact disk, a CD.
 - 11 Q. Is it correct to say that you then reviewed a CD that was
 - 12 made from that CD with a subset of the emails provided by
 - 13 Yahoo?
 - 14 | A. Right. There were -- I reviewed the CD that was the
 - 15 subset of the total records returned by Yahoo. Because there
 - 16 were certain conversations that maybe were relevant to
 - 17 attorney-client privilege relationships that were not
 - 18 necessary, and in order to maintain that relationship, those
 - 19 were walled off and not made available for review. So the only
- 03:23 20 ones that I could review were the ones pertinent.
 - 21 MR. GROHARING: Nothing further, your Honor.
 - MS. BASSIL: Just one question.
 - 23 RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. BASSIL:
 - 24 Q. You said that -- so did you review -- other than those
 - 25 emails that might have been between my client and his attorney,

- 1 you did or did not review all of the 2009 emails from Yahoo?
- 2 A. So there was -- I don't know exactly how many were
- 3 provided by Yahoo, but I reviewed a disk that was created that
- 4 I know was a subset of the actual disk provided by Yahoo. So
- 5 there was -- whatever percentage of that total amount was on
- 6 the disk that I reviewed because of certain reasons. There's
- 7 certain information, like attorney-client privilege.
- 8 Q. I understand.
- 9 A. So the CD that I reviewed contained all the emails -- it
- 03:24 10 was in a pdf format. So it was a very large format. In
 - 11 identifying and locating the ones specifically for
 - 12 identification, you know, I'd scroll through multiple,
 - 13 | multiple, you know, emails. So there might be ones that I
 - 14 | didn't pay attention to that -- but I would, in the process of
 - 15 scrolling through this big, long document, you know, I might
 - 16 have seen.
 - 17 Q. So how many emails did you scroll through in this big,
 - 18 long document?
 - 19 A. The total number, I don't know. I was -- I was
- 03:25 20 | concentrating on just identifying the specific ones to make
 - 21 | sure to verify the source.
 - 22 Q. Did you identify them -- you identified them by date?
 - 23 A. Yes.
 - 24 Q. Or by number?
 - 25 A. By date and by body, you know, to the text of the email to

1 make sure it was an actual -- the actual copy. 2 And paid no attention to the other emails? That was not 3 your job? Yeah. If I did, it was peripheral as I scrolled by, but I 4 5 didn't pay detailed attention to, oh, this email was sent on this date, no. 7 How long do you think this document was that you had to scroll through? 8 I don't know. I don't know how to describe it. It was 03:26 10 extensive, so printed out, it would be several pages, maybe 11 hundreds of pages. 12 Not the -- the emails themselves would be hundreds of 13 pages or the names of the emails would be hundreds of pages? 14 Oh, no, the emails themselves because the format that I 15 reviewed it was a pdf of the document. So all the emails were listed in their entirety with header information, and I would 16 scroll through those in order to identify it to the one that I 17 needed. So I couldn't guess how many pages it would be. 18 19 MS. BASSIL: Thank you. 03:26 20 THE COURT: All right, Mr. Hughes. You may step down. 21 MR. CHAKRAVARTY: The government calls Leah Vallee. 22 THE CLERK: Ma'am, want to step up here, please. Remain standing. Raise your right hand. 23 24 LEAH VALLEE, Sworn

THE CLERK: Please be seated. State your name.

- 1 THE WITNESS: Leah Vallee.
- THE CLERK: And spell it for the record, please.
- THE WITNESS: L-e-a-h; last name, V-a-l-l-e-e.
- 4 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. CHAKRAVARTY:
- 5 Q. Good afternoon, Miss Vallee.
- 6 A. Hello.
- 7 Q. Where do you work?
- 8 A. I work for the FBI.
- 9 Q. What do you do there?
- 03:28 10 A. I'm a translator.
 - 11 Q. What language do you translate?
 - 12 A. English into Arabic and Arabic into English.
 - 13 Q. How long have you worked at the FBI?
 - 14 A. Ten years.
 - 15 Q. Is Arabic your native tongue?
 - 16 A. Yes.
 - 17 Q. How long have you worked as a translator in any capacity?
 - 18 A. The entire ten years with the Bureau, and prior to that, I
 - 19 did some tutoring and a little work here and there.
- 03:28 20 Q. What language were you educated in?
 - 21 A. French and Arabic.
 - 22 Q. When did you learn English? When did you begin to learn?
 - 23 A. Sixth grade, I think, fifth grade.
 - 24 Q. What was the medium of study through high school for you?
 - 25 A. Just general course of studies.

- 1 Q. In terms of what language was your course of studies?
- 2 A. All three at that point, all three languages.
- 3 Q. Did you receive education after high school?
- 4 A. Yes. I went to college.
- 5 Q. Did you get a degree?
- 6 A. Yes, political science and international relations.
- 7 Q. Where was that from?
- 8 A. At UMass Boston.
- 9 Q. You mentioned you did tutoring in Arabic. What did that
- 03:29 10 entail?
 - 11 A. Yeah, with little kids during summertime.
 - 12 Q. What language do you use in your personal life?
 - 13 A. Both Arabic and English.
 - 14 Q. Do you have family overseas?
 - 15 A. Yes.
 - 16 Q. In an Arabic-speaking country?
 - 17 A. Yes.
 - 18 Q. What language do you use when you go there?
 - 19 A. Arabic.
- 03:29 20 Q. How frequently do you go back?
 - 21 A. Every couple of years.
 - 22 Q. Do you speak and write Arabic fluently?
 - 23 A. Yes.
 - 24 Q. How about English?
 - 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. To become a translator at the FBI -- excuse me, a linguist
- 2 at the FBI, do you have to demonstrate some proficiency?
- 3 A. Of course.
- 4 0. Describe what that entails.
- 5 A. We have to take a series of tests. I think we begin with
- $6\mid$ the written exam. And that's -- I think that's two parts or
- 7 three parts. I can't remember. It's been awhile now. It's
- 8 been about 12 years maybe. I think it's two or three parts.
- 9 You pass the first phase. You move on to the next one. That's
- 03:30 10 the written one. When you pass all two or three parts of the
 - 11 written test, you move on to the oral test.
 - 12 Q. Who determines whether you're proficient enough to
 - 13 translate for the FBI?
 - 14 A. Not sure where the written test goes, but I know we're
 - 15 tested by a board of two or three people doing the oral test.
 - 16 So --
 - 17 Q. But they're FBI?
 - 18 A. They're testers, yes; they're FBI testers.
 - 19 Q. Is that across the FBI in the various different languages,
- 03:31 20 in the various different field offices?
 - 21 A. Yes.
 - 22 Q. Before you became employed by the FBI, did you have to
 - 23 undergo that procedure?
 - 24 A. Yes.
 - 25 Q. Okay. Obviously, you passed?

- A. Yes.
- 2 Q. You mentioned that you had been -- you took it maybe 12
- years ago. Is that before you actually were employed by the
- 4 FBI?

- 5 A. Yes. The application process takes awhile, so I think the
- 6 first part of the application process is the test itself. And
- 7 that takes few months between the written and the oral, and
- 8 then they do your background and everything else. So it takes
- 9 awhile. And I started with the Bureau in 2001, so probably
- 03:31 10 took the test in 1999 or 2000. I'm not exactly sure.
 - 11 Q. Then after you -- I assume you were hired. And then was
 - 12 | there periodic testing, or was there a way to determine whether
 - 13 you were still proficient?
 - 14 A. Well, we go through a lot of training. There's a number
 - of mandatory credits that we have to take every year for
 - 16 training purposes. And then we have internal quality control
 - 17 process. And there's also the operational review process that
 - 18 we do. The operational review process takes place pretty much
 - 19 every time we translate a product. The quality control is a
- 03:32 20 percentage of the work we do. And it goes out of the office,
 - 21 and there's qualified reviewers who would review your work and
 - 22 decide if it's satisfactory or not.
 - 23 Q. Is it fair to say there are a variety of different types
 - of translations that you're asked to do?
 - 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. Verbatim translation is one way that you translate items?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Describe what verbatim translation is.
- 4 A. It's not a summary. You take the whole product from one
- 5 language and you produce it in another language.
- 6 Q. So it's like word for word, essentially?
- 7 A. Yes. Well, I --
- 8 Q. You hesitate. Why wouldn't it be word for word?
- 9 A. Because it's tricky, word for word, because there are
- 03:33 10 expressions that you can't produce word for word in a different
 - 11 language. So you have to find the equivalent. So it may not
 - 12 be word for word, but it's the complete sense or expression
 - 13 that you transform into the other language.
 - 14 Q. And that -- you're taking the equivalent words from Arabic
 - and translating into English or vice versa?
 - 16 A. Or the other way around, correct.
 - 17 Q. In the course of your work at the FBI, have you had
 - 18 occasion to translate all sorts of documents and other media?
 - 19 A. Oh, yes.
- 03:33 20 Q. Could you venture a guess on how many items that you have
 - 21 translated?
 - 22 A. Throughout the years?
 - 23 O. Yes.
 - 24 A. Tens of thousands of pages at this point, hundreds of
 - 25 hours of audio material.

- 1 Q. Is your work reviewed by other FBI linguists?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. You mentioned there was an operational review. Describe
- 4 what that entails.
- 5 A. It's a little informal process where the original linguist
- 6 | would translate the work, and you'd give it to your colleague
- 7 to quickly look at and see -- think of it as editing in a
- 8 sense. And they, you know, provide feedback. Could be oral,
- 9 too. You know, you go back and forth. I think this could be
- 03:34 10 this. This means that. And then you share thoughts on it.
 - 11 And then you decide what's the best way to put it. So that's
 - 12 the operational review process.
 - 13 Q. Do you consult other sources such as dictionaries?
 - 14 A. Absolutely, yeah, dictionaries, the internet, other people
 - 15 and other places.
 - 16 Q. And to what end? What are you trying to do by consulting
 - 17 these sources?
 - 18 A. Till you get it right.
 - 19 Q. You mentioned that there was a certain percentage of your
- 03:34 20 translations that go for quality control review?
 - 21 A. Yes.
 - 22 Q. What is that?
 - 23 A. That's 30 percent of -- of the project you're working on,
 - 24 of the material presented to you in that particular project.
 - 25 Thirty percent of the material would have to be translated.

- 1 Q. What does quality control mean? What happens to that?
- 2 A. They take it and they look at it, and they decide if the
- 3 product is satisfactory or not.
- 4 Q. Who is "they"?
- 5 A. The qualified -- well, the quality control qualified
- 6 people, I guess. I mean, they go through a process. They go
- 7 through a training class to become qualified reviewers.
- 8 Q. Are there quality control people here in Boston?
- 9 A. Yeah. I'm myself a quality control reviewer.
- 03:35 10 Q. So you review other people's work?
 - 11 A. Yes.

03:36 20

- 12 Q. Is that the process that you undergo for every translation
- 13 for which you ultimately present to a court?
- MR. CARNEY: I object, your Honor.
- 15 THE COURT: Overruled.
- MR. CARNEY: May I approach, please?
- 17 THE COURT: All right.
- 18 (SIDEBAR CONFERENCE AS FOLLOWS:
- MR. CARNEY: Your Honor, I object based on the
- - 21 that she did work and translated it, I have no objection. If

defendant's right of confrontation. If this witness testifies

- 22 she says that someone else reviewed my work and approved my
- 23 | work, then I am denied my right to confront that second
- 24 witness, and I would submit that she should not be able to say
- 25 | somebody else reviewed and approved my work in this case.

```
1
                  THE COURT: Well --
                  MR. CHAKRAVARTY: She's a translator. She's just
     2
     3
         saying --
                  THE COURT: We'll hear what the evidence is. If she
     4
     5
         says it's her work, then that would be one thing. If she says
         I'm just repeating somebody else's work, that would be another
     7
         thing.
                  MR. CARNEY: Maybe.
                  THE COURT: I understand you have an embed point. You
     9
         think it's embedded. To that extent, I think I would overrule
03:37 10
    11
         the objection.
                  MR. CARNEY: If I could just make it clear, my
    12
    13
         objection is not if she says I translated this work. My
    14
         objection is if she either states or implies that someone else
    15
         reviewed my work and said my work was correct. It's that
         second person who I'm not getting a chance to confront, and
    16
    17
         that's the confrontation objection.
    18
                  THE COURT: Okay. On the present evidence, the
    19
         objection is overruled.
03:37 20
         . . END OF SIDEBAR CONFERENCE.)
              Miss Vallee, that process that you were describing, is
    21
    22
         that the quality control review process at the FBI?
    23
         Α.
              Yes.
    24
         Q.
              Have you previously testified in court about translations?
    25
         Α.
              Yes.
```

- 1 Q. In fact, have you testified before this court before?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Again, the language was Arabic to English?
- 4 A. Correct.
- 5 O. Or English to Arabic?
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 Q. With that, I'm going to ask you about this particular
- 8 case. Did the prosecution team, meaning the prosecutors and
- 9 the agents involved with this case, did they present to you a
- 03:39 10 number of documents and other media to have translated for
 - 11 court?
 - 12 A. Right.
 - 13 Q. Did you divide that load with your colleague, and Marie
 - 14 Doursounian?
 - 15 A. Correct.
 - 16 Q. So I'm going to ask you now about specifically the items
 - 17 that you translated.
 - 18 A. Okay.
 - 19 Q. In addition, I should add, as part of your role as a
- 03:39 20 | linguist at the FBI, have you had occasion to translate
 - 21 telephone communications?
 - 22 A. Yes, many of them.
 - 23 Q. You smile because there's many. I think you said there's
 - 24 several hundred hours worth of audio communications?
 - 25 A. At least.

- 1 Q. You have a lot of experience in transcribing
- 2 communications, is that right?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 | Q. As part of your role in this case, even though they're not
- 5 always in Arabic, did you transcribe telephone calls?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 | Q. Before coming to court today, did you become familiar with
- 8 Exhibits 300, 302, 304, 308, 312, 314, 316, 318, and 320 as
- 9 intercepted telephone calls that you did the transcriptions
- 03:40 10 for?
 - 11 A. Yes.
 - 12 Q. Were those transcriptions fair and accurate to the best of
 - 13 your ability?
 - 14 A. Yes.
 - 15 Q. In addition, did you -- were you asked to translate
 - 16 particular stored instant message communications?
 - 17 | A. Yes.
 - 18 Q. These are what we colloquially call chat logs, correct?
 - 19 A. Correct.
- 03:40 20 Q. Did you -- like the telephone calls, did you divide that
 - 21 load with your colleague?
 - 22 A. Yes.
 - 23 Q. Specifically with regards to Exhibit 497 to 532, 607
 - 24 through 636, 643 through 670, 679 through 682, 685 and 687, 689
 - 25 through 725, do those reflect your translations of any Arabic

- 1 language materials?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Again, are those fair and accurate translations to the
- 4 best of your ability?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Were there some emails for which you did verbatim
- 7 translations as well?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Specifically, I draw your attention to Exhibits 267 and
- 03:41 10 297, which were emails containing a chat log. Do you recall
 - 11 translating the chat log that was an attachment to that email?
 - 12 A. Yes.
 - 13 Q. Like the other chat logs, was that a fair and accurate
 - 14 translation of the Arabic language that appeared in those chat
 - 15 logs?
 - 16 A. Yes.
 - 17 Q. Finally, was there another email, which I recently asked
 - 18 you to confirm whether it was a verbatim translation, Exhibit
 - 19 292? Did you confirm whether that was an email containing a
- 03:42 20 verbatim English translation?
 - 21 A. Yes.
 - 22 Q. Again, was that a fair and accurate translation?
 - 23 A. Yes.
 - MR. CHAKRAVARTY: Your Honor, I don't know if each of
 - 25 those exhibits has been introduced. To the extent it hasn't,

```
1
         the government would ask that each of those exhibits to be
     2
         introduced.
     3
                  THE COURT: Who's --
                  MR. CARNEY: No objection, subject to the process we
     4
     5
         discussed earlier, your Honor.
                  THE COURT: These are in the list that we marked as
     7
         794, right?
     8
                  MR. CHAKRAVARTY: Correct, correct. It's just they
         have Arabic in it so just authenticate --
03:42 10
                  THE COURT: They would all be subsumed within that
    11
         list?
    12
                  MR. CARNEY: Yes, your Honor. That's my belief.
    13
         Q. Agent Vallee, those are the questions I have for you now.
    14
         We'll re-call you later in the case.
    15
                  MR. CARNEY: Your Honor, I have no questions. Thank
    16
         you.
                  THE COURT: Thank you, Miss Vallee. You may step
    17
    18
         down.
    19
                  THE CLERK: Ma'am, step up here, please. Step up to
03:43 20
         the box. Remain standing and raise your right hand.
    21
                  ANN MARIE DOURSOUNIAN, Sworn
    22
                  THE CLERK: Have a seat, please. State your name and
    23
         spell your last name for the record.
    24
                  THE WITNESS: Ann Marie Doursounian,
    25
         D-o-u-r-s-o-u-n-i-a-n.
```

- 1 THE CLERK: Great. Thank you.
- 2 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. CHAKRAVARTY:
- 3 Q. Good afternoon.
- A. Hello.
- 5 Q. Where do you currently work?
- 6 A. I work for the Federal Bureau of Investigation, the FBI.
- 7 Q. What do you do there?
- 8 A. I'm a linguist, a language analyst.
- 9 Q. Do you work with Leah Vallee who just left?
- 03:44 10 A. I do.
 - 11 Q. Do you basically have the same job as her?
 - 12 A. Yes.
 - 13 Q. How long have you been with the FBI?
 - 14 A. Six years.
 - 15 Q. Before the FBI, what did you do?
 - 16 A. I was a tutor of languages and mathematics at a college.
 - 17 And I -- before that I worked at a bank as well.
 - 18 Q. What level of education do you have?
 - 19 A. Bachelor's.
- 03:45 20 Q. Did you attend schooling overseas?
 - 21 A. Yes, I did.
 - 22 Q. To what level of schooling did you attend?
 - 23 A. I have a Lebanese baccalaureate, and I also have two years
 - 24 of college in Lebanon.
 - 25 Q. What's the language of study there?

- 1 A. Arabic, French and English.
- 2 Q. Is that through grammar school and high school as well?
- 3 A. Throughout.
- 4 Q. Are you -- is Arabic one of your native tongues?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Do you have any other native tongues or something that you
- 7 | would consider native?
- 8 A. I'm certified in French as well and I speak Armenian.
- 9 Q. With regards to your Arabic language skills, are you
- 03:46 10 fluent in Arabic?
 - 11 A. Yes.
 - 12 | Q. Do you speak and write?
 - 13 A. Yes.
 - 14 Q. And the same in English?
 - 15 A. Yes.
 - 16 Q. With regards to your use of the Arabic language, do you
 - 17 use that in your personal contacts as well?
 - 18 A. Yes.
 - 19 Q. And at work, do you work with a group of other linguists?
- 03:46 20 A. Yes.
 - 21 Q. And they speak Arabic as well?
 - 22 A. Absolutely, yes.
 - 23 Q. And do you -- are you familiar with the operational review
 - 24 process?
 - 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. What is that?
- 2 A. Operational review is when a second linguist other than
- 3 | the linguist who did the translation of a work looks and
- 4 reviews the material translated into English, let's say, into
- 5 the target language.
- 6 Q. Are you also familiar with quality control?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. What is that?
- 9 A. Quality control is more of a -- maybe a formal process of
- 03:46 10 the operational review. And that comes after the operational
 - 11 review -- well, for court preparation purposes, it comes after
 - 12 the operational review.
 - 13 Q. But, ultimately, each linguist has to vouch for their own
 - 14 translation?
 - 15 A. Absolutely.
 - 16 Q. Did you have to take any proficiency exams before you
 - 17 became a linguist?
 - 18 A. Yes.
 - 19 Q. Did you pass those exams?
- 03:47 20 A. Yes.
 - 21 Q. Is that standard across the FBI?
 - 22 A. Yes.
 - 23 | Q. Approximately how many documents would you say you've
 - 24 translated over your career, roughly?
 - 25 A. I don't know how many.

- 1 Q. In the hundreds?
- 2 A. Probably more.
- 3 Q. Thousands maybe?
- 4 A. Probably, yes.
- 5 Q. How about audio materials in terms of, like, telephone
- 6 calls and audiotapes, videotapes?
- 7 A. I would say same, maybe even more.
- 8 Q. Hundreds of hours, maybe even --
- 9 A. Right.
- 03:47 10 Q. I draw your attention now to this specific case. Were you
 - 11 asked in this case to translate specific items for purposes of
 - 12 court?
 - 13 A. Yes.
 - 14 Q. Did you and Miss Vallee divide the workload with regards
 - 15 to those materials?
 - 16 A. Yes.
 - 17 Q. If we have a translation, one of the two of you did it?
 - 18 | A. Uh-huh.
 - 19 Q. In addition to your translation duties, did you also
- 03:48 20 transcribe some documents?
 - 21 A. Yes.
 - 22 Q. Specifically some telephone calls which may not have been
 - 23 in Arabic but -- or may have had some Arabic within an English
 - 24 language phone call?
 - 25 A. Right, I did.

- Q. Did you translate Exhibits 306, 310, 322, and 324? Would
- 2 it help if I gave you an exhibit list?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 MR. CHAKRAVARTY: May I approach, your Honor?
- 5 THE COURT: You may.
- 6 Q. Just for the record, I've handed you an exhibit list, and
- 7 I've directed you to the intercepted telephone calls portion of
- 8 that list.
- 9 A. Yes.
- 03:49 10 Q. You translated essentially all of the exhibits on that
 - 11 list -- all of the transcriptions of telephone calls that Ms.
 - 12 Vallee did not translate, is that right?
 - 13 A. Right.
 - 14 Q. Were those fair and accurate transcriptions and
 - 15 translations to the best of your ability?
 - 16 A. Yes, they were.
 - 17 Q. Were there also some emails for which you did verbatim
 - 18 translations?
 - 19 A. I did.
- 03:49 20 | Q. I direct you to Exhibits 349, 357, and 358. Were those
 - 21 translations that you did?
 - 22 A. I honestly can't see them. It's too little. I can't see
 - 23 them.
 - 24 Q. Perhaps I can project it.
 - 25 A. Yeah.

```
1
                  MR. CHAKRAVARTY: Your Honor, can I have the ELMO just
         for the witness?
     2
              Is that a little bit better? Can you see that?
         Q.
              Yes, I can see that.
     4
         Α.
     5
         Q.
              Again, Exhibits 349?
         Α.
              Yes.
     7
              357?
         Q.
     8
         Α.
              Right.
             And 358?
         Ο.
03:51 10
         Α.
              Yes.
    11
         Q. Are those all your translations?
    12
         Α.
             Uh-huh.
    13
              Again, like the other ones, are those fair and accurate to
         Ο.
    14
         the best of your ability?
    15
         Α.
              They are.
              Finally, were there a series of stored instant message
    16
    17
         communications for which you did a portion of the verbatim
    18
         translations?
    19
         A. I did.
              Specifically, did you translate 495, 496, 533 to 606, 637
03:52 20
         Q.
    21
         to 642, 671 through 678, 683 and 684, 687 and 688, and 726 and
    22
         737? Again, I'll --
    23
                  MR. CARNEY: May I have a moment, your Honor, please?
         (Discussion held off the record.)
    24
    25
             Just to clarify for the record -- there were a lot of
```

1 numbers. I know for you to look at each one might take some time. Before you came to court today, did you tell me or have 2 communicated to me which ones you had translated? Α. Yes. 5 Did you translate all of the instant message communications -- stored instant message communications that 7 Miss Vallee did not? A. Yes, I did. MR. CHAKRAVARTY: With that and with a conversation 9 03:53 10 with counsel, I think -- I'm sorry. 11 Let me just qualify. Are those also fair and accurate 12 translations to the best of your ability? 13 Α. They are. 14 MR. CHAKRAVARTY: Given that those items, I believe, are already in evidence, subject to the provisos, those are all 15 16 the questions I have. MR. CARNEY: I indicated to Mr. Chakravarty that I 17 18 would stipulate that she translated the numbers that he read 19 off, your Honor. 03:53 20 THE COURT: Okay. Any cross-examination? 21 MR. CARNEY: Excuse me. No, your Honor. Thank you. 22 THE COURT: Thank you, Miss Doursounian. You may step 23 down. 24 MR. CHAKRAVARTY: Your Honor, we're in the ignominious

but welcome position of having exhausted our witnesses for

25

1 today. Things went a little bit faster than yesterday. THE COURT: Well, I guess that brings us to a close a 2 little earlier than expected. But we'll resume tomorrow at 9 3 and continue making some good progress. Enjoy the rest of the day, jurors. We'll see you tomorrow morning. We're in recess. 5 6 As long as we have some time, why don't I just stay in 7 session with the lawyers. 8 (The jury left the room at 12:37 a.m.) 9 THE COURT: I just wanted to talk about the schedule 03:54 10 for the process that we were talking about with these bulk 11 exhibits, if I can call it that, because -- well, frankly, we 12 should get started on it, I guess. What do you -- the next 13 step I think is for defense objections. Give me a reasonable 14 time frame. MR. CARNEY: The day before your Honor selects for the 15 hearing we would deliver to you specifically what objections. 16 THE COURT: I'm not sure there will be a hearing. 17 18 other words, there may be with respect to some matters. But my 19 thought is that what would be given me would be enough to 03:55 20 identify the objections, and I think from that, mostly I would 21 understand the scope of the objection. So to the extent there 22 was argument, it would be a subset. 23 So I don't think that timetable is practical, then, 24 because I'd like to go through them. And then whatever we need

to talk about, we can do so. So there should be some review

25

```
I just want to be sure it fits appropriately in the flow
     1
     2
         of the case. I know everybody has lots to do, but --
                  MR. CARNEY: May I inquire? How many --
     3
                  THE COURT: Why don't I do this: Why don't we just go
     4
     5
         this far with it. Why don't the parties talk about that, a
         time frame for -- well, because I guess on the -- what I'm
     7
         suggesting is, if it's going to be a first cut on paper, then I
     8
         guess the government would have the opportunity to submit
         something, too. And then it would be whatever I thought we
03:56 10
         needed additional argument on.
    11
                  MR. AUERHAHN: And I did give a list of the ones we
         expect to use tomorrow with the witness. So if there's any
    12
    13
         specific objections to any of those specific chats --
    14
                  MS. BASSIL: There will be.
                  MR. AUERHAHN: -- we should probably deal with it
    15
         before I show it to the witness.
    16
                  MS. BASSIL: There will be.
    17
    18
                  THE COURT: What's the scope of that, Mr. Auerhahn?
    19
                  MR. AUERHAHN: I didn't -- there are probably over two
03:56 20
         dozen.
                 Is that correct? Ms. Bassil is holding the list I gave
    21
         her.
    22
                  MS. BASSIL: Well, what I can tell you is -- okay.
    23
         The sum total, which doesn't mean that necessarily it's all of
    24
         them, but the total number of instant messages on the witness
    25
         tomorrow is 245 pages, approximately. That doesn't mean all --
```

```
1
         we're going to be using all 245 or that there will be
     2
         objections to all of them. But that's the sum total of his
     3
         instant messages.
     4
                  MR. AUERHAHN: We're not using all of his.
     5
                  MS. BASSIL: I'm not using all of them.
     6
                  MR. AUERHAHN: And, certainly, he's not going to read
     7
         all 245 pages or we're not going to read in questioning.
     8
         just going to excerpt from the list that I gave counsel.
     9
                  THE COURT: And how many individual chats?
03:57 10
                  MS. BASSIL: Well --
    11
                  MR. AUERHAHN: If I can have the list I gave --
    12
                  THE COURT: Just an estimate, a ballpark.
    13
                  MR. AUERHAHN: May I have the list?
    14
                  MS. BASSIL: Sure.
    15
                  THE COURT: Let me ask it this way: Each chat is
         separately identified by an exhibit number?
    16
                  MR. AUERHAHN: Yes.
    17
    18
                  MS. BASSIL: Yes.
    19
                  THE COURT: How many exhibit numbers?
03:58 20
                  MR. AUERHAHN: About -- when I say two dozen, looks
         like I was off a little. It's between two and three dozen so
    21
    22
         somewhere under 30.
    23
                  MS. BASSIL: And I had 11 chats I might refer to,
    24
         which might just mean impeachment with a line or two.
    25
                  THE COURT: Well, I don't know about the government's
```

```
1
         objections to yours. We've been focusing on your objections to
     2
         the government's.
     3
                  MS. BASSIL: All right.
                  THE COURT: Well, I guess, can you email that list to
     4
     5
         Paul?
     6
                  MR. AUERHAHN:
                                 Yes.
     7
                  THE COURT: And at least I will be some degree
     8
         familiar with it. And then we can take it from there.
     9
                  MS. BASSIL: Well, what I can tell you is there will
03:59 10
         be some photographs that I will be objecting to. And there are
    11
         at least two videos, I think, that are problematic in terms of
    12
         summary translations that are heavy in Arabic.
    13
                  MR. AUERHAHN: With reference to that, as I said, I
    14
         included them on the list just for counsel's convenience but
    15
         indicated we're not going to introduce the summary translation.
         The Court has already ruled on that. But we will play a short
    16
         segment -- these are videos that were actually sent by the
    17
         defendant to the witness embedded in a chat. So we're going to
    18
    19
         play a short excerpt so the jury knows what it is they're
03:59 20
         talking about on that particular chat. And no beheading
    21
         videos.
    22
                  THE COURT: Okay. Well, if you want to identify some
    23
         of the image exhibits you expect to use, maybe I'll have a
         chance to be familiar.
    24
    25
                  MR. AUERHAHN: I'll -- the list I provided to counsel
```

```
1
         included both the videos that I'm going to show while the
     2
         witness is on the stand as well as the chats as well as the
     3
         emails as well as the telephone calls. I'll make sure Mr.
     4
         Lyness has the same list.
     5
                  THE COURT: I think we talked about the government's
     6
         exhibits on paper so I could -- I have the disks from the JERS
     7
                  They're a little clunky to use, particularly the
     8
         documents as opposed to the media, because you have to go back
         out as far as I know. You have to keep going back out to find
         the next index number. So I don't know.
04:00 10
    11
                  MR. CHAKRAVARTY: We have them here. Do you want
    12
         binders sequentially numbered, or would you prefer just the
    13
         exhibits for a particular witness?
    14
                  THE COURT: I think it's useful to get them all.
    15
                  MR. CHAKRAVARTY: We'll leave this here, your Honor.
                  THE COURT: Okay. And you'll talk about the schedule
    16
         on -- going out so we can get through the mass of the rest of
    17
    18
         it.
    19
                  MS. BASSIL: So in terms, your Honor, of the things
04:01 20
         that I might use, do you want a hard copy of those?
    21
                  THE COURT: I don't know whether there's going to be
    22
         objections to them. It's a different -- it may be a different
    23
         issue. What we're dealing with is your 403 objections.
    24
                  MS. BASSIL: Right.
    25
                  THE COURT: I don't know whether the government is
```

```
going to have 403 objections. They might have other
 1
     objections, but they may just be dealt with in the course of
 2
 3
    proceedings.
              MS. BASSIL: That's fine. All right.
 4
 5
              THE COURT: Okay. We'll be in recess. Thanks.
 6
     (Whereupon, at 12:45 p.m. the trial recessed.)
 7
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1 CERTIFICATE 2 3 We, Marcia G. Patrisso, RMR, CRR, and Cheryl Dahlstrom, RMR, CRR, Official Reporters of the United States 4 5 District Court, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript 6 constitutes, to the best of our skill and ability, a true and 7 accurate transcription of our stenotype notes taken in the 8 matter of Criminal Action No. 09-10017-GAO-1, United States of America v. Tarek Mehanna. 9 10 11 /s/ Marcia G. Patrisso MARCIA G. PATRISSO, RMR, CRR Official Court Reporter 12 13 /s/ Cheryl Dahlstrom CHERYL DAHLSTROM, RMR, CRR 14 Official Court Reporter 15 Date: November 2, 2011 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25